



2 19859020 1941 E



THE LIBRARY  
of  
VICTORIA UNIVERSITY  
Toronto







Geo D. Farris.

Queen

BC



# **THE ADVENTURE OF LIFE**





# THE ADVENTURE OF LIFE

BEING THE  
**William Belden Noble Lectures**  
FOR 1911

BY  
**WILFRED THOMASON GRENFELL**  
M.D. (Oxon.), C.M.G.



TORONTO  
**WILLIAM BRIGGS**  
BOSTON AND NEW YORK  
**HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY**  
1912

BR  
121  
G745  
1912

**EMMANUEL**

COPYRIGHT, 1912, BY WILFRED THOMASON GRENFELL

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



136301

## THE WILLIAM BELDEN NOBLE LECTURES

THIS Lectureship was constituted a perpetual foundation in Harvard University in 1898, as a memorial to the late WILLIAM BELDEN NOBLE of Washington, D. C. (Harvard, 1885). The deed of gift provides that the lectures shall be not less than six in number, that they shall be delivered annually, and, if convenient, in the Phillips Brooks House, during the season of Advent. Each lecturer shall have ample notice of his appointment, and the publication of each course of lectures is required. The purpose of the Lectureship will be further seen in the following citation from the deed of gift by which it was established :—

“The object of the founder of the Lectures is to continue the mission of William Belden Noble, whose supreme desire it was to extend the influence of Jesus as the way, the truth, and the life ; to make known the meaning of the words of Jesus, ‘I am come that they might have life, and that they might have it more abundantly.’ In accordance with the large interpretation of the Influence of Jesus by the late Phillips Brooks, with whose religious teaching he in whose memory the Lectures are established and also the founder of the Lectures were in deep sympathy, it is intended that the scope of the Lectures shall be as wide as the highest interests of humanity. With this end in view, — the perfection of the spiritual man and the consecration by the spirit of Jesus of every department of human character, thought, and activity, — the Lectures may include philosophy, literature, art, poetry, the natural sciences, political economy, sociology, ethics, history both civil and ecclesiastical, as well as theology, and the more direct interests of the religious life. Beyond a sympathy with the purpose of the Lectures, as thus defined, no restriction is placed upon the lecturer.”





TO MY WIFE



## PREFACE

I SHOULD like to preface these lectures which I am about to deliver by a brief foreword concerning the man in whose memory they have been founded. William Belden Noble was unknown to me personally, while probably some of you at least had the advantage of his acquaintance. I think I can truly say, however, that I am conscious of his friendship. A life like his makes him, like Kim, a friend of all the world.

He loved the things I love: football and athletic games. He was human in social relations and a member of clubs which, had I been at Harvard, I should have wished to join. He worked and played and loved — hard. His was just a strenuous, natural human life. And in addition to all this, but not in spite of it, he had the vision of the real value of life. He ranked high at college. It cannot be said that it was lack of intellectual ability which gave him the faith, which I hold is of more value than anything else on earth.

So I am fully persuaded, not only that William Belden Noble lived, but that he still lives the imperishable life of those through whom the life of God is manifested.

Those are the alumni of Harvard who will ever be among her benefactors. Have you no debt to her and to those who shall fill your places when you too shall have "passed beyond the bourne of time and place"? See that you strive to discharge your indebtedness while you can. If of the gold and silver some of you may be able to give her, there is that which has cost you both in labor and life, to you who give, that shall sweeten tenfold the joy of giving. But that which alone all of us can give, and which all of us must give if, like William Belden Noble, we are to be worthy to be remembered as her sons, is what her truest counselor, Phillips Brooks, asked of you, — the gift of yet one more regenerated human life.

WILFRED T. GRENFELL, M.D.

December, 1911.



## CONTENTS

I. LIFE AND FAITH . . . . .	1
II. CHRIST AND THE INDIVIDUAL . . . . .	39
III. CHRIST AND SOCIETY . . . . .	80
IV. CHRIST AND THE DAILY LIFE . . . . .	120



# THE ADVENTURE OF LIFE

---

## LECTURE I

### LIFE AND FAITH

THE object of the Noble Lectures, as I conceive it, is decidedly a practical one. It is that something may be said, and in such a way that it shall induce in the minds of the hearers a keener desire to stand for just those things which Christ did stand for. It is to beget a determination to reincarnate his life, in the conviction that so our brief tenure of human life may be most useful, most completely fulfill the purpose for which it was given, and so attain the whole achievement of which it is capable.

I cannot but realize the difficulty of the problem presented, while at the same time I entirely believe in the supreme importance of it. I appreciate most deeply the honor that I should be asked to attempt the task.

I must be enjoying much the same sensation as the diminutive Jack when he stood before the giant's gate, which is exactly my idea of the "joie de vivre."

The choice of the medical profession as a lifework should of itself be a guarantee that one looks upon human life as worth while. For it is scarcely conceivable that one should devote his entire stay on earth to the effort to discover and carry out methods for preserving and prolonging that which he considered practically valueless. Being unskilled in philosophy and theology, the method I propose to adopt in these lectures is bound to be empirical, and may possibly appear egotistic. As I do in purely professional work, so now, I can, I believe, best argue from my own experience as to what I think may be helpful to others. I recognize, however, that there are spiritual and mental variations in human minds corresponding to well-known physical differences known to medicine as idiosyncrasy, and I can only plead for



indulgence if I am guilty of judging others too much by myself.

I therefore begin my first lecture by stating that I am an intense believer in life as an asset of incomparable value. I cannot remember the day when I had not a passion for life,—it seemed so full of adventure. Stimulated by trophies of Indian jungles which had been sent back by our uncles and which graced our home, I decided, almost before I learned my alphabet, that the profession of tiger-hunting was the only one worthy of the name. Indeed, all my leanings, hereditary or otherwise, were towards a life of action. My forebears have almost all been physical fighters, and I presume I could hardly have escaped the heritage of a hatred for peace and platitudes. An English public school only emphasized in my mind the conviction that physical contests were the most desirable in which to excel. It never occurred to me that the boys who labored at their books could have discovered a field for adventure. I did not

#### 4 THE ADVENTURE OF LIFE

for one moment think that they were worthy of anything but the general contemptuous opinion so aptly expressed in the names by which we knew them.

It was in London, when I was first on my own allowance, and free from any supervision of body or mind, that I discovered that mental activities offered a chance for adventure as real and as worthy as any physical field. There I began to appreciate the value of knowledge because it enabled one to do things. When in the operating theatre I watched men familiarly and with confidence achieving magnificent results in relieving pain, prolonging life, and restoring capacities by their masterly mental qualifications, life seemed suddenly to loom up ten times as attractive as I had ever dreamed it could be. But there was a larger realm of thought which no one could fully comprehend. Many of my teachers were men with wide reputations, who were to me almost as demigods, but among them there was a vast difference of opinion on

this subject. Some were silent, all were reticent regarding it.

The ordinary exponents of the Christian faith had never succeeded in interesting me in any way, or even in making me believe that they were more than professionally concerned themselves. Religion appeared to be a profession, exceedingly conventional, and most unattractive in my estimation, — the very last I should have thought of selecting. I considered it effeminate, and should have strongly resented the imputation, and felt heartily ashamed, if any one of my companions had suggested that I was a pietist. I am not excusing my position: I am stating it. I made an exception of the home religion of my mother, which I simply put in a category by itself.

I was attracted one day by the excitement of an enormous crowd outside a tent. I was living at that time in Whitechapel, in the sordid purlieus of which the famous Jack the Ripper was contemporaneously carrying on his profession. One saw every

kind of evil, and every variety of wrecked humanity, but among many vanquished, some victors. The fight between good and evil in the individual was always an evident fact. It never occurred to me that I must at some time, willy-nilly, enter consciously into the same arena. I went into the tent, and there I heard a plain common-sense man talking in a plain intelligible way to a huge concourse of really interested people. The man made me feel in all he said that at least he had thrown every ounce of himself into the issue. In a most matter-of-fact but kindly way, he pulled up a long-winded prayer-bore, who was irritating the audience with droning platitudes, and the Almighty by conferring quite unnecessary information upon him. He even cut short the choir and braved the organist, when he realized that their silence helped more than their art. He ended with an address, the simplicity of which left no doubt in any man's mind that he was a fighter for the practical issues of a better and more



cheerful life on earth, a believer in a possible life of big achievement for every soul of us, both here and hereafter. His self-forgetful appeal for help left a determination in my heart at least. Perhaps I had been wrong in considering the main object of the preaching profession to be preferment rather than social uplift. It was a revelation, it opened a new vision, and I guessed for the first time the meaning in the eyes of the knights of chivalry in familiar famous pictures. Somehow religion as an insurance ticket had never interested me. The selfishness and even cowardice of that appeal, to which I had so often listened, now loomed up in the worse light of distrust. That which I had called faith was after all unfaith. The new faith which there dawned on me for the first time was not the conviction that God would forgive me, but that he had already given me things of which I had not even known; not that he would save me, but that he would use me. I went out with yet a third field for adventure before me,

and far the largest, to add to the glory and beauty of life.

A new factor which now forced itself upon me was my will. I believed in free will: it seemed common sense. I knew that materialists did not, and that most of my comrades believed in Darwin and Huxley, and in the teaching that we are all slaves of unbreakable laws. I believed that I was at the fork of two roads, and could go down the one which I liked. For my venture I wanted knowledge. At that time I thought nothing of reading just as late at night as I could stay awake with a wet towel round my head; but I recognized limits to my capacity. I was forced to admit that there were some things too high for me. And yet — I must go ahead. Only thus will any man find his field for adventure. Courage and every noble virtue, and every idea of the romantic, worth-while world in which I live would be gone, if I did not believe in free will. "After all, it is not that we strive to do the impossible, but that which

to the self of mere experience looks impossible.”<sup>1</sup>

I was prejudiced for an adventurous world. The other dull material world was unbearable to me anyhow. Science taught us that the phenomena of life worked out in an orderly manner; and that from observing the facts governing that order, certain results were discernible. The embryo of an egg developed wings and flew. A similar embryonic cell in the ocean grew fins and swam. The processes never got mixed and no human being could alter them. Some men who posed as scientists (that is, those who knew) talked as if “nature” or the “laws of nature” controlled all these wonderful things. They were so familiar with them that they might almost have invented them. But the “forces of nature,” the *force* that is outside ourselves thenceforth to me spelled “God.” It is merely a fact that no man, however much he wishes, can really make mystery a bar to faith.

<sup>1</sup> Bishop Brent, *Leadership*.

11  
13  
104  
12-1  
All business has to be conducted to some extent on a credit basis. The same system applies occasionally in the realm of thought; and I am justified in using it in the sphere of convictions. I am convinced that this is a case in which wisdom is justified of her children. In Ottawa there is a statue of Sir Galahad, erected to the memory of a young man who, seeing two skaters fall through the ice on the Ottawa River, sprang in to save them and was drowned himself. On the granite base of the statue are carved the young knight's words, "If I save my life I lose it." Reason may say he was a fool, but is that wisdom? When the Lake Erie steamer caught fire, in order to save the passengers it became necessary to steam full-speed ahead to the nearest beach. The flames drove the passengers forward. Some one must stay at the wheel to steer, or all would be drowned or burned. The keel struck the beach just in time. But when they looked for the helmsman, Robert Marsden, only a common sailor, they found

him dead, his blackened body lying sunk down on its knees in the chart-room; he himself had lashed his hands to the wheel. The Master was ridiculed as a madman; but the Greeks did not blame Achilles for his choice. Are all heroism, all impulsive nobility, all honor, because they are unreasonable, to be classed as folly, and to be sneered at? NB

Once in a heavy cross-loop on the Dogger Bank, the forestay of our schooner suddenly broke. While I was reasoning out what to do, the skipper had her before the wind, relieved the pressure at once, and saved the mainmast, and probably our lives. A snap judgment, an instinctive decision, is not necessarily an unreasonable one.

For my part, I came to see I must start somewhere, and stand on some basis. Should I stand on the current knowledge of the early eighties, which was about as stable as a Labrador bog and has already gone the way of flesh, or should I stand on faith? Down which road should I go? Whether NB



MB / demonstrably intellectually correct or not, I decided I would prefer and therefore would try to follow the Christ.

MB / What is the explanation of the biased or even bitter spirit in which many men deal with the claim of Christianity to their attention? In medicine and in all other branches of science we are at best supposed to bring our problems to the bar of our intelligence, without a bias for proving or disproving, but simply to find the truth. I have had men come in the middle of the night, come many miles, incur considerable expense, just to discuss prolonging the life of a patient, who had no more claim on them than that he was a fellow man in distress. Their sole desire was to get wisdom for action, and they considered it a mean thing to worry one iota about the trouble involved in the attempt to prolong mortal life. The very men who strain at gnats when it is a question of real life, swallow a camel when it relates to mere animal existence.



Among other odd things which struck one with regard to the acceptance of Christianity as a method of life was the fact that the people to decry it most loudly as a remedy were those who had never tried it at all. The loudest denouncers of a remedy for the body should be those who have tried it without prejudice and found it a failure. It is considered unscientific and irrational for a man to do more than remain silent about a remedy he has not tried personally. If, however, he were to form his opinion by watching others try it, it would be equally unscientific to judge of the experiment unless he were assured it was the unadulterated remedy he was seeing used. Those who have studied Christ's own teachings for themselves, and seen his varied methods tried for humanity's sins and sorrows, have never been disappointed. Most of us must find God, if at all, in the experiences of everyday life. One cause is almost alone enough to justify and quite sufficient to explain the attitude

of mind in which men of science approach the Christian religion. For the claim of priest and theologian and religious teacher of succeeding ages, that their particular faith was knowledge and included absolute truth, was as demonstrably false as it was immodest. "Truth cannot exist in a church any more than learning can in a university." Again, their ceaseless attempts to stereotype the intellectual and social relation of every man of all ages according to their own conception of what the religion of Christ called for has patently held back the true advance of the race. They captured the title of the Christian Church, "vi et armis," just as a knight does the token from his adversary's helm, and arrested the growth of the real church, till it became like a miserable stunted cretin, for whom for centuries no cure was thought possible. Moreover, they enforced their tenets in a way well calculated to leave objectionable impressions on the minds of scientists, even if they did escape the

experience of Galileo. No wonder that, as McComb says: "People are weary of the burden of theological doctrines, and are asking for something permanent, something verifiable in experience, which no criticism can touch and no progress in culture wither."<sup>1</sup> A young German divine is reported to have said, "Christ came to save us from the theologians!" Not to be misunderstood, I would say here that I am myself a member of a church, and comforted by the fact that the visible church is, willy-nilly, enlarging its views as to what it means to be a Christian, and is ever more and more recognizing the social side of the service of the Master. On the other hand, with the increase of knowledge, the arrogance of current thought is groundless, and the scholar no longer believes he has a monopoly of religion. As Peabody has pointed out, the scholar has discovered that "the conceit of learning arises from not discerning the dimensions of truth"; and that "the

<sup>1</sup> *Christianity and the Modern Mind.*

contest between religion and science now interests only a few belated materialists and a few overslept defenders of the faith.”<sup>1</sup>

We must reach the hilltop of learning before we can hope for the full view. Emerson says, “Talent sinks with character.”

The Master differs from teachers like Rousseau, for there is no hiatus between his precepts and his character. Spiritual satiety has been the trouble with many scientists, just as men, after a dinner they cannot digest, are unable to climb the hill.

Besides this cause, the heritage of wrong aim, the fact of sin, the heirloom of bad advertisement also remain. To make men enter the church to-day there exists only the same road which leads to love for her Founder. After an address at the Cooper Union in New York, a rabid anti-Christian was fiercely heckling the speaker from the audience and abusing the church of to-day. His arguments were so drastic and yet so specious that there was only one way to

<sup>1</sup> *Religion of an Educated Man.*

answer him. "Are you a member of any church?" the speaker asked. "What are you getting at?" was the astonished reply. "Well, I've been for twenty-five years," continued the speaker, "and I assure you it never encouraged me to rob, to kill, or to vilify. If you really want to satisfy your mind, I advise you to go and join the church, and see for yourself what she stands for." The suggestion was so novel that the critic rose and walked out.

On returning to Labrador one spring, I chanced to be discussing with a group of men on the wharf the reported conversion of some of the toughest and hitherto untouched characters among the settlers. It happened that, like so many others, they had been bred to despise the idea of conversion, though laboriously drilled in many denominational doctrines of doubtful value. That a conversion like St. Paul's, which meant something practical, could occur in the twentieth century, or anywhere outside the Bible, seemed to them ridiculous.



There was a lot of looking down and nervous kicking the ground when we endeavored to talk of it as one would of catching fish. They all admitted, however, that the whole cove had been altered, and men and women entirely changed for the better. Various boats with different kinds of apparatus for catching fish were coming to and fro from the company's wharf as we were talking. All were engaged in getting fish for the same firm, and all were eager enough to gain their end. The fish were not trapping well, and the humbler "hook-and-line" men were the only ones who were getting anything. I suggested that it would not be to their credit as loyal employees or as men of common sense, if the trap-net men should regard as enemies, or find fault with, or try to ridicule, their successful comrades, for using methods other than their own. The suggestion that the adoption of such a course of action could possibly be considered a fair demonstration of what Christ taught at once brought a denial to their lips, and



a side-glance as well to see if I were really in earnest. Yet this was exactly the attitude of one body of Christians to another. There was no rejoicing, that I could see, that the sole purpose for which their own organization avowedly existed was being accomplished, but recrimination that it was not being accomplished in their way. In this case, however, the whole group of men immediately indorsed the general principle that different methods were entirely necessary in the material world, and also that excellent results had been obtained in this instance, for which their own sect had nominally striven. It had certainly failed for so long a period as to endanger the desired result being accomplished during the lifetime of the very people who had now become new men. 11 MB

I could cite many instances where faith in Christ has very apparently altered a man's whole outlook and action. Naturally, most of my observation has been among fishermen, and it has included men of al- MP

most every kind of temperament. One was a man with whom I afterwards made several voyages. A man of exceptionable physique, he had been the victim of uncontrollable temper, and various of his drinking sprees had ended in the police station, as the result of violent assaults on others. He had destroyed his home and his wife had left him. He was rapidly ruining his own splendid physique, and the lives of all those with whom he came in contact. Suddenly he became sober and peaceful, built up his home again and took back his wife, and developed an absolutely unselfish passion to try to save his fellows from the slavery that had been his. He always claimed that his faith in Christ was the secret of the change. He was so cheerful and so uniformly optimistic that his very face became transparent with happiness, and I have never had a more delightful shipmate. I once asked him to say a word to encourage other men. He stood up to try, and unaccustomed tears coursed down

his cheeks. At last he said, "To think of the like of me talking to them men," and sat down. This class of men has been well illustrated by Mr. Harold Begbie in his "Twice-Born Men" and "Broken Earthenware." In my own experience it has been multiplied many times. Indeed, I have often wondered why so many clergy and other workers have asked me whether I have read these books, as if the results they describe were rare experiences. It is only the recording of them that is rare. There is a reticence always on the part of all good workers to draw deductions from their own work prematurely. There can be no question of their occurrence, however, though my own experience shows me that these more emotionally susceptible men are most liable to temporary retrogression. But even so, I am devoutly thankful for such changes as may occur to change their life and environment, changes which I can attribute to nothing else but their faith. I am certain that any one who, even though

MB / without faith himself, though also without prejudice, would seek to record such cases in the way we record cures of disease, — which only affect part of men's lives, — would be surprised at the extent and value of suddenly acquired faith in the Christ.

Before leaving my seafaring friends, however, I would say that, while the suddenness of the change of habits and of life has been unquestioned, the process, it has always seemed to me, has been less brief than they themselves supposed, and the conversion could have been almost as justly attributed to many previous experiences. Yet I ought to add that the majority among these fishermen who are endowed with the kind of faith that dominates their whole life are conscious of the day on which it became a potent factor in their lives, — a most helpful experience, it always seems to me.

Among those of my own class in life, I have been privileged also to see not a few very remarkable changes; but the process

has almost always been gradual, and usually accomplished through unselfish service, which is Christ-following. In men of my own profession I have seen just as unmistakably the results of Christian faith. From self-indulgent, destructive, wasted lives, I have seen them become just such ministers to humanity as I conceive that Christ calls for. Among the unfortunate victims of extreme wealth I have known some suddenly accept the Christ's view of stewardship, and without dumping their wealth, for which Christ never called, they have accepted their responsibilities, and administered it with such love and wisdom that their renewed lives have entirely stopped the mouths of critics.

I do not believe in labels, but I must accept that of utilitarian. For such an attitude faith is an absolute necessity. At the age of nineteen I was living with a clever lecturer on the "Evidences for Christianity." His shelves were literally crowded from floor to ceiling with scientific and phil-



osophical works of every kind, ancient and modern. His life and talents were entirely devoted to demonstrating that our Christian faith was in accord with the scientific knowledge of that day. He was popular, and I believe to some extent successful in influencing men's opinions and lives. Anyhow, I have seen him carried home on the shoulders of a London crowd, and finishing his address from our upper window. At that same time my own brother, who had taken an open scholarship and a brilliant "first" in Classics at Oxford, had just finished his "greats" examination in philosophy. In this, to my infinite surprise, he had secured only a second-class. His fault, according to the examiners, was his brilliant memory. He had quoted accurately the teachings of masters at variance with one another to examiners who did not agree with any of them. "Where wise men differ, fools may come in," and I rejoiced that I felt free to decide to order my life on the basis of Christian faith, a position



I have never regretted having adopted.

Phillips Brooks says somewhere that "freedom of belief should not mean freedom to believe little but freedom to believe much."

On a perfectly common-sense basis, I have always trusted that when I differed from the teachings of creeds and sects, possibly I was as likely to be right as they, since I had as direct access to and as great a claim on the promises of the Giver of all wisdom as they.

There exists an absolutely undeniable antipathy on the part of theologian and scientist alike to allowing this freedom. One says you shall not have it, the other says you cannot, though the value of its acquisition has the indorsement of thousands, nay, millions of our fellow men of all ages.

Yet we cannot take up a newspaper without seeing accounts of these same men suing others for restitution of goods or money out of which they have foolishly allowed themselves to be swindled. In

these cases any judge would like to say to them, "You'll get no redress, for it is only what you deserve." The same applies to matters which affect our lives more intimately and permanently. Take, for instance, marriage. The ever-increasing number of divorces show how these most vital and personal relations are undertaken without any reference whatever to reason. It is the same with our play: aviation, motoring, polo, football, cards, billiards, etc. We go into them entirely without reference to their value to our especial temperaments or requirements or capacities or physical interests. In food and drink the folly and credulity of man is shown in the absolutely unreasonable extent to which men indulge themselves. Whatever the result may be in the brevity or longevity of life, these excesses affect every expression of mind or spirit as surely as they do the physical capacities. It is not unusual for the famous Billy Muldoon to announce to a new degenerate, "Sir, you have no mind.

For the next six weeks you will have the infinite advantage of Billy Muldoon's mind."

One might multiply these instances indefinitely, but the only point I wish to urge is that it is these very people who in everyday life stigmatize even the man whose life has been demonstrably benefited by the Christian faith as a fanatic, as a man of ill-balanced mind, as credulous.

But so strange are the contortions of mentality that many times men have said to me, "I wish I could believe as you do; it would be such a help and such a comfort."

At the same time I have known men with death threatening, and in agony of mind for those they must leave behind them, to whom I have wished above all else I could give that peace and rest which the acquisition of that faith invariably carries with it. But it has been impossible.

"Christ's appeal is not *primarily* to the emotions or to the intellect, but to the will." It is not that men cannot accept the Christ nearly so much as that they will not.

NO

see  
Catholic  
approachsocial  
question

One of the causes of this mistrust of the Christian faith in men's minds is the age-long misrepresentation of it. We have such erroneous ideas of what the Christ pleads for. "In that unhappy moment, centuries ago, when the church set up a metaphysical text, in place of the standard of moral excellence and personal fellowship with Christ, it lost its supreme distinction of symbolizing the unity of all life in a common divine source and in a common immortal destiny."<sup>1</sup> Such bad advertising as Christianity sometimes gets would certainly kill the desire even for an Eastman kodak or a Winchester rifle. D. L. Moody said, "The Christian is the world's Bible, but we often need a revised version."

1  
2  
3  
4  
It was at this decisive point that for the first time I realized I was, and puzzled as to who I could be, and why I was, and what I could do, and where I was bound. Some people think the last question is mere silly sentiment. But it really is not only most

<sup>1</sup> Paradise, *The Church and the Individual*, p. 248.

natural but most common sense. In passing a vessel at sea we almost always ask first, "Where are you bound?" Somehow that actually interests us most. I have found that if I know the vessel and have any affection for the skipper I am ten times as likely to be concerned. I never knew one to resent my question, and his answer usually closed with "Where are you?"

Now it so happens that most of my cruising has been done in the foggiest region of the world, and I myself have often enough been for days together in the fog. Because the season is short and the distance to be covered so great, to get along is always a question of first and imperative importance if we are in any way to satisfy ourselves that we have done our duty. It is a horrible feeling at the end of the season to find one has delayed and had to miss out-sections of the work. This is not because we have to render account to any one but ourselves, but simply because we find that we are far less willing to condone any faults



or omissions than a master over us would be. It therefore happens that often we have to run ahead in spite of the fog and take the risk. Incidentally these are among the most exciting times of our lives. The risk itself, the adventure, is the real spice of what would otherwise be prosaic and dull. Indeed the fact that the coast is badly lighted, poorly charted, and devoid of landmarks and buoys on the shoals, not only keeps us alive and quickens our capacities but gives us a realization of fellowship with our friends sailing the same seas. Thus we get a much more intelligent love for one another as we see each other's fallibility, and we come to feel that the work is more worth while because it involves adventure, and because we have seen that not every man can or will "launch out."

I must admit, however, that when running in the fog the first question on one's lips as one sights a fellow voyager is not, "Where are you bound?" but "Where are we?" I remember the first time we were



crossing the Newfoundland Banks. We had spent some days in blanketing fog without a heavenly or earthly body to give us any information about our position. We were somewhat anxious, not knowing which way to go. Suddenly, a huge three-masted ship loomed up out of the fog, apparently running off her course with confidence. We had time to cut her off and ask where we were. She replied by hanging over the side a huge blackboard with the approximate latitude and longitude on it, and then disappeared into the gloom. We were not able to prove it, but we trusted her good faith and acted as if it were true. We did n't in the least resent the suggestion of interference in our private affairs. Many and many a time since I have had to rely on the opinions of others and even their gratuitous help. At one time we were running somewhat too confidently on a part of the shore which we thought we knew perfectly well. Indeed, we were running full speed in spite of our inability to see. We were

suddenly aroused in the wheel house by the united shouting of half a dozen stentorian voices, "Hard a' starboard! Full speed astern! — or you'll be ashore."

These presumptuous people in a trap fishing-boat had, quite unasked, interfered to make us change our course, and had thereby saved us from a catastrophe. It was so dense we could not see the breakers. However, we found we had made no mistake in instantly acting on the faith that they were wiser than we, without waiting to argue the rationality of it. But beyond this, on yet another occasion in thick weather we ran right by a boat full of men and almost instantly afterwards sighted breakers. We escaped practically by a miracle, but we felt badly that the men in the boat had not interfered to warn us.

These and every experience of life seem to teach that when the question at issue is of vital, practical importance to us we have no prejudice against outside advice,

and that there is no reason why we should not offer such as we may possess, nor why we should not accept it and act upon it as if it were true, without needing intellectual demonstration.

Dr. Crile has shown that anger, fear, love, anxiety render protoplasm granular; just as the shaking of steel makes a much-worked axle brittle and unreliable, so these emotions destroy the cells in the cortex of the cerebral hemispheres just as would poison or a blow. It is through these important cells that the outside world is interpreted to us. So faith that brings peace, is, in any case, a physical desirability if not a moral one.

The man who has no interest in life, its meaning and its future, is only intelligible to me on one of three hypotheses: either he has never faced himself and never stopped to think, or he has done it with blind eyes and closed ears, or he is no man at all.

I can understand the position of the spec-

tator at the great games; being unable to play himself, he certainly does his best to show his sympathy and give his support to the players. He spends much energy and at times makes a very fine show. But his outlay is more or less pathetic, for he is only a spectator after all, — and he is so numerous! I know there is no need to waste sympathy on the actual players. The glory of the game liberally compensates them for any damage they may receive. The man to whom my sympathy always goes out is the substitute, ready and anxious to get into the game — but to whom the chance is never given to use his capacities. His loyalty calls for unbounded admiration.

W 2  
If there is iniquity in accepting a course for true, the axioms of which cannot be demonstrated by mathematics, this is the reason why I rejoice in my iniquity (in accepting the Christian faith). My choice has given me such fun in life, and still promises to do so. For no capacities need

go unused in the field of Christian adventure.

I have as much right to my position as any man has to unfaith, — and I have the deductions of common sense to support me. As for the materialist, he at least cannot blame me. If I am all wrong, I am at worst the victim of his own inexorable system. When we recognize our finiteness, we come to faith as rational. I do not expect to see God here, and live. As Chesterton<sup>1</sup> has pointed out, though somewhat sweepingly, “Between Hegel who believes in nothing but himself and his senses, and the materialist who believes not at all in his senses,” stands Christianity as the great *Modus Vivendi*.

If I were to quote in the classroom the words of the Scripture, that the natural man does not *want* the things of the spirit, I should probably be hooted at or mildly ignored, and yet it is perfectly obvious that this is really the case. Even if we know the

<sup>1</sup> G. K. Chesterton, *Orthodoxy*.



best path, we *wish* to walk the one that may not cost us anything in everyday life, rather than let reason sit master on our control. If I were to quote Christ's saying, "I came not to send peace, but a sword," the retort, even if unspoken, would undoubtedly be, "What did Christ know about it?" Yet the unfailing evidence of facts shows every day the inevitableness of the contest if the best is to be made of life. Life to the Christian sounds a clarion call like the last words of Marmion: —

"Charge, Chester, charge;

On, Stanley, on."

Without question unfaith is too often a synonym for "don't want." It is like the farmer who, when urged to give up whiskey, remarked, "Prove I don't like un, and I'll give un up."

"The great causes of God and humanity are not defeated by the hot assaults of the Devil, but by the slow, crushing, glacier-like mass of thousands and thousands of indifferent nobodies. God's causes are never

destroyed by being blown up, but by being sat upon. It is not the violent and anarchical whom we have to fear in the war for human progress, but the slow, the staid, the respectable. And the danger of these lies in their real scepticism. . . . Though it would abhor articulately confessing that God does nothing, it virtually means so by refusing to share manifest opportunities of serving Him.”<sup>1</sup>

It is not to complain weakly of prejudice, to besmirch those who do not believe as I do, that I have thus dwelt on the strange reluctances in accepting faith as a guide for action in matters which relate to our highest interest and life. Surely in the business world men take ventures without waiting for intellectual comprehension. When the venture is of such vast importance as accepting a guide for life's action, when the Christian faith has been so unanimously approved by those who have really adopted it, when there is at least a possibility that

<sup>1</sup> George Adam Smith, *Minor Prophets*, vol. II, p. 54.

not only our day of life here but the life in eternity will be benefited, why is it irrational to accept the mystery and stand on the ground of "Lord, I believe. Help thou mine unbelief."

## LECTURE II

### CHRIST AND THE INDIVIDUAL

IN my first lecture I endeavored to defend the deductions of my own experience, namely, that as we all must act, the conscious selection of the pathway pointed out by Christ is rational: first, because it is the most remunerative solution of the problem; secondly, the most interesting, as affording a sound basis for fighting, for loving, and for hoping; thirdly, the most manly, as involving hard work with no immediate vision of finality; and last, because it bases the whole on the satisfactory presumption that I am I, and choose this course myself.

I now propose to try and indicate how this choice works out in men's lives whatever their temperament or activity. I am convinced that no man can truly say, "Christ's way succeeds for the man across

the street, but not for me." I do not argue that a man can by his will power make himself believe this suddenly, if his education and mentality make him sceptical of it, or that any other man can by superior wisdom convince his mind of the truth of it by much talking. But I do contend that with however little faith a man starts out if he is willing to work on that faith instead of arguing he is on a sure road to satisfy himself of the truth of it, and eventually to know, as far as we can know anything, that the Master was and is perfectly right. You cannot find the Christ by searching with the eye in books and pamphlets; you cannot demonstrate him to the ear in theological lectures. I have known more than one man try these very ways, and lose in the process the little faith with which he began. The way to find the truth about the Christ is to be willing to undertake the kind of life that common sense translates his teachings to mean in this age. When at Christ's bidding the paralyzed



man found that he could walk, and the palsied man that he had strength in his arm, and the blind man that he could see clearly, they were all convinced of the Master's power, — and the cleansed lepers acclaimed him before ever they went to the priests for confirmation of their cure.

The popular idea that Christ asks men to sit down in life and admire him is absurd on the face of it. The greatest Worker the world has ever known asks men to be men and follow him in the manifold directions which always commend themselves to mankind in the supreme moments of life. It is not our recognition as we pass on the road of life that he desires, but our personal loyalty; not vain oblations, but "ceasing to do evil and learning to do good." His direct appeal is to our sense for a reasonable service. It is always more the appeal of the musician than that of the dialectician. The ear hears, but the soul interprets. The musician does n't argue; he plays, and the ear that hears recognizes or interprets the

beauty of the message without being driven into a hole by words. Alas, for the ears to which a Beethoven sonata reveals no beauty, or the eyes which cannot see the glory of the solar spectrum. To me it is certainly the fault of our interpretive faculties if we find no attraction in the person of Jesus Christ. Just so it is sin or moral perversion which prevents flesh and blood revealing Christ, and that is why faith is the best service we can render humanity.

A long and varied experience with many of the churches has left me confident of the wisdom of joining one or other of them. None have a monopoly of perfection, but a roving life has taught me that when a man is hungry he can well afford to overlook imperfections in the service, so long as the food is good. One morning, after I had been addressing a large Bible class, a keen young fellow came to the house where I was staying and asked for an interview. He said: "I got an entirely new view of what Christ really expects of me, and I realized

that that is not taught in my church. What would you advise me to do?" I told him I had had some patients who could n't assimilate food even in the form of milk, and that I should advise him to go around till he found nourishment in *some* church, and then cultivate loyalty to that; not to stay out just because it was human and imperfect, but to go in and make it better. I find that the cause of the trouble is just as often the stomach or constitution as the meals, in these days when the public also is enlarging its views of what good food is, and beginning to insist upon having it. While the Master always insisted upon faith, he had no severe rebuke for doubt. I don't believe any of us would have let Thomas off quite so easily.

Bishop Brent<sup>1</sup> has said: "A man's vocation is the sphere in which to illustrate his precepts"; and I now propose in a few words to try and show how the Christian faith affects my own profession.

<sup>1</sup> Brent, *Leadership*.

The temptations of the surgeon are not the same as those of the priest or the scholar. His special temptations are to think that the prolongation of existence limits the call of life on him, and affords a field large enough for all he can contribute; secondly, professional prejudice against lay interference.

Regarding the first point I have never doubted that the prolongation of some lives is altogether undesirable. One or two examples of this type will suffice. An old sailor captain with cancer of the throat, which woke him with horrors that some one was strangling him as soon as he dozed off to sleep, would ask me so piteously at night for a lethal draught that I used to try and tiptoe past his bed as I went round the wards to avoid the pain of having to refuse him. A poor fisherman, incurable and mentally degenerate, owing to a creeping paralysis, is here after six years, killing and starving his family, as he, an absolutely unintelligent mass of flesh and bones,

lies groaning and moaning in bed. Already one married daughter has died, worn out with caring for him and her own young family as well. His wife is rapidly sinking also.

Among my patients in hospital to-day is a young man of nineteen. He has been under my care for eleven months. He has tubercular disease of the hip and spine; there is no hope of his recovery. We cannot keep him, and must instead send him home to be a source of physical danger, a ruinous expense, and a cause of untold mental anguish to his loved ones. In the cases of the criminally insane, the tubercular insane, the hopelessly insane, the sufferers in the last stages of incurable diseases, and others, it is at least open to debate if a year or more added to their life on earth is of any value. It is questionable if the same may not be said of the hopeless moral degenerate whose vice has injured him beyond possible physical recovery. The state admits this to a certain



143  
| extent in the use of capital punishment, and in its methods of preventing criminal reproduction. Theologians as well as materialists have assented to a limit to the day of grace. Pathologists have demonstrated the damage caused by the neglect of these precautions on the part of the state. I am not arguing that it is possible as yet to identify the candidates for extinction, but that it is not a worthy end for our profession in any case to limit their aspirations to utility to the prolongation of mortal life. To have life is not nearly so important as to use it well. Emerson aptly asks, "What is the use of eternal life to a man who cannot use half an hour of this life well?" What we have is never so important as what we do with what we have.

The world will, I know, acquit me of egotism in claiming for the profession of healing a special capacity for influencing the whole life of the whole man, if only because of the advantages it has in getting really close to men when they are apt to be

both impressionable and thoughtful, and stripped of all conventional restraint. The real end of all social service should be to build up character; "to educate personality is true religion."<sup>1</sup> The ideal object of the best doctors, lawyers, scholars, priests, or indeed of every good man, is in reality the same as that of the Master.

I once carried a plant I had found to our professor of botany for identification. "Young man," he said, "a botanist does not know one plant from another." Rousseau wrote a standard textbook on how to bring up children, and dropped all five of his own, on the day they were born, in the post-box of the foundling hospital. An aurist proposed the theory of the telephone, and a business man made it of service to the public. But science and utility are coming together. It was left to the young University of Kansas to risk the opprobrium of having prostituted learning to commercialism, by appointing an unlimited staff of industrial

<sup>1</sup> Peabody, *Religion of an Educated Man*.

"Fellows," the object of each being to discover practical values for apparently useless products. Through their special scientific knowledge they obtained casein from buttermilk, diastase from alfalfa stalks, pituitin from the hypophysis of whales. To-day the school and university and social training in England still discount all commerce and practical productive work, as less worthy of the true gentleman than either fighting, sporting, or speculating. When the first site for a hospital in Labrador was given me by a merchant, he embodied in the deed of gift that I was not to trade there, for fear of competing with his own store. I remember that the proviso jarred on me in those days as being almost an insult. Since that I have started a long series of cash stores, believing them to be the most necessary remedy for many of our diseases. But it still seems to rub the wrong way when I am asked for how much I will sell a gallon of molasses. Christ himself teaches that the effective use of learning

is not purely intellectual. The awakening of the soul to the need for an alliance of the utilitarian motive with our will is one sure stepping-stone to the Christian faith. "This faith can be kept alive," said Cardinal Newman, "only by personal holiness of life." It is not irreverent to classify the intellectual concessions rendered imperative by the willingness just to be useful, or by the view of life that the object is greater than the way in which it is achieved, with those greater sacrifices of faith which induced men to go uncomplaining to physical death for others. This is far from saying that the end justifies the means. I plead only for the adoption of a concession that is as ennobling as it is invaluable.

The great risks and sacrifices that doctors have ever been willing to accept — and our profession yields to none in the long list of willing martyrs to duty, or the advancement of learning, when the value of the object in view has been demonstrated to them — is indisputable. It would indeed

ill become so humble a member as myself to offer any criticism whatever on a profession able to claim such a record of heroic deeds for the sake of others. I am but venturing to suggest, because I love it above all others, that it too may not yet have mounted high enough on the hill of divine truth to value to the full the glories of its own opportunities.

I make this statement because I am absolutely convinced of the value of religious faith to the bodies as well as to the souls of men, and because the true physician must minister to the whole man if he is to accomplish his best work. Nor is this deduction founded upon abstract argument, but upon concrete proof. Admitting as we must that prevention is better than cure, my own experience teaches me that the Christian faith has succeeded in eliminating causes of disease by stimulating people to adopt the provisions of preventive medicine. It is conceded that the greater number of bodily ailments are avoidable



and due to preventable causes; and that the real contagion that produces many diseases is evil spiritual influences, such as feeble wills, together with evil companionship and bad environment. It does not take special knowledge or apparatus to discern this fact.

Lawyers and clergy, as well as doctors, know the endless evils to which alcohol leads. True, they may not attribute directly to it the subtle sclerosis of liver and kidney and brain, the hard artery, the fat and generally degenerate body. But they see the poverty, starvation, cruelty, accidents, and injuries to which it leads. This is just as true of the sexual and social vices. They see the provisions that society makes to pander to them, the red-light districts, the ruined girls, the debased men. But they do not, as we do, see young wives most literally murdered, blighted and miserable children, and the evident results in reducing vitality, making people incapable of withstanding disease or responding to

surgical help, or in producing cancer or insanity. This is no less true in the case of the other great enemy of our race, tuberculosis.

If the employers of labor were Christian men following Christ, labor would receive fairer reward, workmen would be better housed and able to provide more healthful conditions for their families. Cleanliness, ventilation, and sanitation would be made easy instead of almost impossible. It would seem that the physician might well object to the ideal Christian conditions. Surely Christ-following is my worst enemy, for there will be no room for me in a really Christian community, when tuberculosis, sclerosis, typhoid and social evils are eradicated. In the City Beautiful of the Christian vision it is said there shall be no more sickness or suffering or death. Unless the calling of the physician is a mere isolated factor, disjointedly cast into a hotch-potch of a universe without definite aims and views, this must be the ideal he wishes to

attain. If he does not believe it is ever realizable, and yet thinks of it at all, his only alternative is insanity.

The answer is simple. It is this that is the glory of our profession, namely, that, working in the spirit of the Master, it must evolve, its keynote being self-elimination. It has cleared the Panama of yellow fever; it has banished typhus and plague and black death, and almost eradicated small-pox, diphtheria, and malaria; it has broken the back of cerebro-spinal meningitis and sleeping sickness, and many other ills of the flesh. The world does acclaim that the doctor is the best missionary if only he has the vision and follows it. I heard Sir Frederic Treves, the famous surgeon, aptly say, "Medicine is the best education in the world, yet it seems the worst profession to follow." Because, while it gives men infinite power, incomparable opportunities, when competition from overcrowding of the profession arises it leads to such awful temptations. A selfish politician, lawyer,

clergyman, or merchant has not quite the same power over flesh and blood, and does not depend so directly upon other people's misfortunes for his income. But it was the Master's profession, if he had a special one, and to me it calls as loudly for men of his mind and life, with the true Christ-following faith, as ever it did, and as insistently. It still calls for men endued with the power that comes from on high, as well as with an up-to-date knowledge of surgical procedure, and fully sympathetic with the desire which made Paul say, "I am eager to tell the good news, since faith is the power by which God brings salvation."

To be more concrete for a moment, I would state that the whole stress of the modern view of medicine is that fresh air, pure food, more hours of rest, better playgrounds, and schools and garden villages are a more remunerative investment from a medical point of view than an enlarged pharmacopœia. The use of drugs seems to be falling more and more into unprofessional hands,

and I doubt very much if the unqualified chemist and patent-medicine vendor are not far the firmest believers in them. Of course there are valuable drugs, and naturally the physician should know best how to handle them. But often enough he gets into a routine, and it has been said that the average doctor never uses more than a dozen different prescriptions, and those no longer contain a dozen ingredients each. I asked a world-famous surgeon the other day what he used if he sprained his own ankle. He named a well-known patent liniment; for an irritable cut or scratch he used a patent ointment; for a digestive trouble, a famous patent pill.

On the other hand, who to-day doubts the intimate correlation between health of mind and of body, or the mutual interrelation and dependency of both of these with the soul, which expresses itself through them. Take for instance the nervous instability that results from the high pressure of modern life. What an enormous



factor it forms in the category of sicknesses. The records of every member of our profession well confirm the statement that a large proportion among our cases consists of neurosis, neurasthenia, nervous prostration, and so-called functional and idiopathic disorders dependent upon causes the nature of which we cannot identify under the microscope, but which we think are due to brain-cell instability. What a long step toward the millennial conditions will be covered when these disturbances can be banished.

Once in a clergyman's<sup>1</sup> study before morning service I noticed on his table a pile of unopened letters quite a foot in height. "Why don't you open your letters?" I asked. — "Those all came this morning," was the reply. — "They are all from people wanting help or money?" — "No, mostly for nervous disorders and such troubles." — "I wish you would give me an example." — "Well, here is one. This young man has

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Elwood Worcester, Emmanuel Church, Boston.

been to no less than three doctors, and in one hospital, for subjective stomach troubles. They found no cause they could remove. We discovered he had a burden on his mind, which he could n't get rid of. It prevented his sleeping. We found we could help him, and he has lost all his pains."

One day while I was attending Dr. Barker's clinic at Johns Hopkins, the first patient brought into the theatre gave much the following history. He had had nose trouble, went to a specialist and had his adenoids removed; got throat trouble, and had his tonsils out; got bladder trouble, and had his prostate removed; got an obscure pain in his abdomen, and had his appendix out; had headaches and pain in the eyes, went to an eye specialist and got glasses. Altogether this was the tenth clinic he had experienced. On entering the very room in which we sat we had heard the sound of the builders of an enormous new wing to the hospital, for which millions of dollars had been given. Dr. Barker ex-

plained to his classes, as soon as the patient had gone out, that in all probability this new psychiatric hospital might have saved this unfortunate gentleman some of his organs. It is quite an error to suppose that specialization and limitation of a surgeon's field always marks the advance of scientific treatment. On the contrary, in ancient Rome there were specialists on diseases of the eyelashes. I presume if they could have made a living they would have specialized on one eyelash. That a germ, a poison, a fee; or an injury, a knife, a clean scar, should describe the whole rôle of the doctor, is untenable.

At the beginning of this lecture I suggested that prejudice against lay interference is as characteristic of our profession as of any other. We certainly believe, and every now and again state, that there is no "hinterland" containing remedial methods of demonstrable value outside our own field, though our asylums for the insane plainly show us that no one is so in-

conceivably certain he is right, and knows  
it all, as the person of unsound mind. It is lamentable but true that we have to confess this, though that is better than that we should have to learn that there is some value in the statement from outsiders like Bernard Shaw.

Recently the English papers have been full of a lawsuit against a well-known manipulator of joints and bones in London. This man, though without a professional degree, has for years, according to the evidence of his numerous patients among the rich and educated, been effecting cures of joint troubles. A patient with an incurable knee trouble who went to him endeavored subsequently to get money out of him, alleging malpractice. The hostility and generally unfair attitude of the doctors who were called in as witnesses evoked a most convincing and scathing article in a magazine, from one of the most famous of the English surgeons, enumerating cases that he had himself been unable to relieve and which

had been greatly benefited when he sent them to the defendant. He clearly proved that the man knew and used methods which we ought to adopt as being superior to our own. It so happened that one of my friends in India whose polo pony fell upon him had an exactly similar experience with this very bonesetter. While I believe patent remedies as a rule are used in inverse proportion to the intelligence of the people, I claim that humility rather than arrogance is the best attribute of the physician, and that more faith in powers outside himself is justifiable and desirable. Osteopathy, Eddyism, Dowieism, faith-healing, optology, and all extreme swings of the pendulum of protest, afford evidence of the desirability of the larger view for which I am pleading. There can be no doubt of the physical value of a peaceful mind. Yet it almost cost Mesmer his reputation and his life when he suggested that mind could be made a remedy for bodily ailments. Morton, the unfortunate introducer of



ether, fared even worse. Harvey, the discoverer of the circulation of the blood, met a similar fate. Lister did not escape bitter attacks when he discovered antiseptics. Whole societies have come into existence to discredit the work of men like Jenner, Pasteur, and other incomparable benefactors of our race, while no available means are to-day neglected to prevent the opportunity of acquiring new truths by experiments on animals; to minimize the value of the results achieved, or even to injure the personal reputation of those who are humane enough to endure being so greatly misunderstood in order to minister to mankind.

It cost Paul much suffering and eventually death to advocate at Rome faith in the Christ as the means of a man's salvation. The same preaching of the same Gospel, interpreted in terms of our modern view of what Christianity means, its applicability to the whole man, has, to my knowledge, cost more than one good man

almost as bitter an experience of hostility in the United States of America; for I question if words do not hurt as much as stones and whips, in these days when the advance of civilization has made us "more sensitive to and more capable of suffering."

The preacher of to-day is saying that not only are physical remedies called for, not only are mental suggestions needed, but that we must ourselves be channels of the higher life, through which spiritual streams from the Power above us must come forth, if we are to contribute our best service to our fellow men. In this latter point we rejoice that he is beginning to overtake George Fox, who preached the same message three hundred years ago. We must consciously reach up our trolley arm, that contact with the Power above may give us an impetus which we cannot have of ourselves.

The value of mental suggestion has been greatly impressed upon me by many cases in my own experience. The following will

serve as an example. While the guest of a doctor in Montreal I was much interested by his experiments in alcoholic cases with chloride of gold, which I had always thought to be an inert drug. A whole series of cases of ordinary alcoholism and of paroxysmal dipsomania were successfully treated, many of which had defied all former efforts. One specially interesting case was that of a cook who for over forty years had been a chronic rather than a periodic drunkard. She was savagely drunk when she came to the surgery, and a better impersonation of our vague idea of the Devil I have never seen. She was immediately injected with gold chloride and told she could drink all the whiskey she liked, but that soon she would n't care for it. She came again next day and was admitted to a private ward for a few days' rest and upbuilding. She was again injected and told to go on drinking the liquor, which was actually poured out and put in a glass on the table beside her bed. She was also told not to drink it

if she did n't like it, since now that she had been given the drug the liquor would make her sick. I went in to see her that evening. The whiskey on the table was untouched. She made a good recovery and returned to her occupation. I not only purchased the drugs and outfit, and tried them, but sent the directions to a well-known London physician to try also; but in our hands the system failed. Some years later the Montreal doctor, who was to read a paper on the subject before the medical society there, wired me to come and testify to the authenticity of some of his cures, as he feared the society was hostile to him. He read his carefully prepared paper, and narrated case after case. Afterwards, I gave my confirmatory evidence. The president said, and the meeting indorsed the statement, that chloride of gold in their hands was as useless as water, but none of them for one moment doubted that in the hands of the author it was perfectly successful.

Recently Lord Mount Stephen gave a

large share of his immense wealth away to his heirs and friends, that in his life he might have the real joy of sharing it with others.

“ . . . For if our virtues  
Did not go forth of us, 't were all alike  
As if we had them not.” <sup>1</sup>

A doctor's joys, no more than his success,  
can be estimated by the size of his fees, or  
what he gets out of his profession, but only  
by what he contributes to it. That “he  
who will be greatest must be the servant of  
all” is certainly true of this ministry. This  
is surely what the lawyer and the clergy-  
man and the doctor desire: the conversion  
of the aims and efforts of the mind, the body,  
and the soul. When this is realized, a man  
may say, “I am a living factor in the cre-  
ative purpose, and fidelity in my place is  
the test of the effectiveness of the whole  
design. This unity of the world saves some  
men from the conceit of wisdom, as it saves  
others from the despondency of work.”

<sup>1</sup> *Measure for Measure*, I, i, 34-36.



To refer to the value of ministering to the whole man and not to connect it with the name of Dr. Richard Cabot were impossible. While approaching it from another point of view, the world is learning to look upon him as chief apostle of the need for this all-inclusive ministry.

Myers has said that when it is a question of inquiring into whether mind acts on mind without the body, savants cannot, and theologians will not, accept evidence. But while there may be much truth in satirizing many preachers as Stigginses, I am convinced that it is not the whole truth, any more than that there is no truth in the subliminal self.

To turn now to the second division of our lecture, the profession of the law. I almost fear to tread on that ground. Though acting as a magistrate for over ten years, I am obliged to confess that I have been unhampered by any special knowledge of the technicalities of the profession, and as a medical exponent I have naturally

had a remedial and not a retributive bias.

Surely, the true lawyer's ideal is not a crime, a retribution, a fee, though he too is tempted to keep so close to the mill which grinds out dollars that he may lose the full vision of his potentiality. Christ as a lawyer would, exactly as if a doctor, be working for big and worthy ends, — to produce conditions that would abolish crime, — and so unselfishly working for the elimination of his own profession. To me it seems just as certain that if the true physician must treat the whole man, if he is to cure physical ailments, so moral obliquities demand the same treatment of the true lawyer. That disease leads to sin and crime is quite as true as that sin and crime lead to disease. A man in the full flush of health and in good surroundings is less likely to become a criminal than a weakling in a bad environment.

One of our first pieces of work among the fishermen was to oust the floating grogshops

from the fishing fleet, by supplying vessels in their midst which made provision for all their legitimate demands: such as cheap tobacco, social opportunities, and simple religious teaching, but not alcoholic liquors. This policy so commended itself to the magistrates of the fishing seaports, that we hold their written and unasked testimonials to the lessening of crime and even the reduction of police forces in the fishermen's quarters, and the diminution of poverty and the need of poor-relief. Eventually the results seemed so desirable to conservative governments bordering the German Ocean that they agreed to an international convention. It favored and enforced the most severe laws against selling any liquors on the high seas, on the sole ground that if their environment was improved, the lives of the people would also be improved, a deduction fully indorsed by the results founded on the experimental, the best of all bases. The same principle obtained on the shore when we supplied

institutes belonging to the fishermen, and had laws passed to prevent wages being paid in saloons or annexes thereto. The same results accrued in Labrador and North Newfoundland when the sale of liquor was prohibited in a region where such a law could be enforced. The aged mayor of Portland, Maine, near the close of a most successful business career, told me that though the liquor traffic, almost all-powerful, had done its best to make the prohibition laws ineffective, and to falsify their results, crime had unquestionably been lessened.

The absence of the environment of the open saloon and flaunting windows, and the nameless crimes connected with that traffic, are alike dependent largely on the prevention of the sale of intoxicants. The celebrated Judge Altgeld, when governor of Illinois, stated pithily that ninety-five per cent of crimes of violence and burglary were due to the same cause (alcohol). To my mind part of the privilege of the life-

work of the Christian lawyer is to help to improve the environment of the tempted classes. To see justice impartially administered is of course his supreme special function; and there again he has the same opportunities as the doctor for the real joys of personal service to the oppressed, and for righting the wrongs of the injured. But would any worthy member of the bar contend that therewith ended the function of the true lawyer; that to exact retribution, to deter evildoers by threats, or even to get justice for those in trouble, made a great lawyer? Such a course might make him a rich lawyer, a popular lawyer, but would not make him great. To render crime unattractive, to implant new aspirations, to regenerate the individual, and to make laws remedial, are surely truer claims to immortality.

The laws have been framed by the powerful of a generation gone, and naturally leave us a heritage that demands remodeling if it is to meet the needs of a new era.



Why should men hesitate to apply the same test to the dogmas of the churches, which are the outgrowths of despotism, and not applicable to a true democracy as are its teachings? A real human sympathy with the life of to-day shows that there is infinite opportunity for simplifying the processes of the law, if it is to express our views of Christ's ideal of brotherhood, or even to give the poor man a chance of getting justice or to make the rich man fear punishment.

While working eight years in the purlieu of Whitechapel, I learned beyond all question, first, that often all the punishments invented by the law and all the provisions made for the protection of life and property failed in many cases; and further, I saw, as I have seen since that time, that the very men whom the punishments only made worse were perfectly capable of reformation. Intelligent sympathy and practical love cure individuals who have been pronounced incurable — the very methods

the Master advocated and calls for still. Among such translations of love is the administration of the new kind of prisons, such as that of the Massachusetts Reformatory. Here men are not punished again and again in prison for little or big breaches of discipline, but are simply helped not to fail by offering them temptations to be good and by rewards for success in the attempt. Thus, instead of insane isolation and brutalization, making men revengeful and despairing, interesting and remunerative industries are taught and work is demanded, — good solid work, a temptation many criminals never get outside, and a gain, as they never had a chance to learn any craft before. More important still, good work is immediately made remunerative. Decorations, such as good conduct stripes, are displayed on the uniforms, and each new one means a shortened sentence. Responsibility and trust are gradually given them, and self-respect, hope, and aspiration induced and encouraged; pride

and even *esprit de corps* are cultivated, — though as yet there are no inter-reformatory athletic contests, so far as I know!

There are plenty of people, however, who still maintain "once a criminal, always a criminal." There are many ever ready to condemn to the pathologically incurable class, those who often enough are only the victims of circumstance. The Master never was among these critics. He was ever the world's apostle of optimism and of hope. The amazing records of this Reformatory show that seventy-five per cent of these poor fellows are cured; of the remainder, fifteen per cent being physical degenerates. I say poor fellows, for my view is that they are to be pitied, if only because of the hell on earth which they make for themselves, and the loss of capacity and the vision of what God intended them to be. But unless we have a vision ourselves of the true greatness of our opportunity, we can hardly expect to sympathize with them for their blindness.

It is not the intellectual faculties to which Christianity seeks to supply new information, but it is the heart that it is necessary to reach. The Master always taught that the renewal and perfecting of a man was dependent upon a new heart, and no one has improved on that treatment that I know of. The efforts of the conventional, perfunctory religious teacher, like those of the sloppy and shallow pietist, remind me strongly of such drugs as tartarated anti-  
mony. If rightly given, the desired result is obtained, but if wrongly, it is promptly rejected. It is not religiosity or intellec-  
tualism, but love, that is needed. I claim that the great lawyer will be as eager as any specialist in talking, to translate wisely, into permanent effective methods for reclamation, the true religion, namely, the love that saves.

Dr. Richard Cabot and others in medicine, Dr. Elwood Worcester and other clergy, have accepted and eloquently taught by example and precept the neces-

sity and the privilege in their two professions of considering causally and remedially the family and the immediate home surroundings of those they are endeavoring to help. Of course a great deal of a lawyer's work does not permit any such opportunity, yet I feel that the Master himself as a lawyer to-day would find chances to exercise the same spirit. Ætiology and pathology, sociology and theology, have found a parallel in the study of criminology which is evidence of the opening of fresh and glorious channels for life energies in the sister profession of the law. So young is it, however, that the Italian Lombroso, who is considered the parent of it, is still living in Italy. Germany has developed the study, and Professor Wigmore of Chicago is responsible for a society and a journal of criminology of Illinois.

In the amusing comic opera, the "Mi-kado," a verse of song runs: —

"My object all sublime  
I shall achieve in time,



To make the punishment fit the crime,  
The punishment fit the crime."

Yet what an ideal service, what a real success and joy the universal accomplishment or even serious effort toward its fulfillment would be, working in the Master's spirit of love for the man and hatred for the sin. That would imply that success in the effort spelled new men out of old, though science and evolution call the criminals hopeless. The real object of the lawyer's life can be attained only by offering his quota, infinitesimal though it be; but he can contribute it by reincarnating the spirit of the Master.

I would inquire here in what possible way the achievement of this glorious and ultimate end can be materially influenced by the lawyer's mere mental apprehension of or submission to subtle theologic dogmas or refinements in the precise method of expressing his devotion to God. What connection have such things, per se, with real religion? They may help his religion, but

good  
anyway

they are not it. Plain, common courage has much more influence than intellectual attitude. Heney, who in the face of almost every pessimist on earth, in the face of appalling difficulties and opposition, in the face of persecution and attempted murder, sent the great civic burglars in San Francisco to jail, preached a gospel in the true spirit of the Christ. For a poor Carpenter to stand alone before the powers that be, knowing that no protection was afforded life by the law of his day, and say publicly, "You generation of vipers! How can you escape the damnation of hell?" required courage, not theology. The cross of Christ calls for intelligent courage and not intellectual effacement and mere ability to swallow. When Heney went back after scarcely recovering from his wounds, and faced the court, and again, after two mistrials, commenced a third, he, a volunteer, unpaid in dollars, fighting almost as a lone man against the immeasurable burden of hatred and opposition, to me presented at least

one aspect of the truly great Christian lawyer.

What is a corporation lawyer to do, you say, when possibly his very living depends upon his winning a technicality for the bosses against equity for the community. Abraham Lincoln would not take cases when he knew his side was in the wrong, and that winning meant doing a wrong. To connive at the defeat of justice is to prostitute a holy duty. That's all; you must be brave if you are to have courage. You must take adverse chances if you are to be a hero. It is simply a question of what you seek in life. The purely impersonal position of the lawyer of course is the easiest path, exactly as it is for the doctor who asks, as Cabot says, "What is in the waiting-room? Anything of interest?" I acknowledge that to sympathize with each case is difficult; it makes a claim on a lawyer which must curtail his practice. But he is doing what he would like done for him were he the client. And I am contending

that it is possible, and constitutes the true scale by which to measure greatness, and is what the Master would give, and what faith in him calls for.

Although owing to lack of time I have been unable to touch upon the three types of mind into which it would appear one might divide men, — the scientific, the literary, and the practical, — still I maintain that one principle applies to all. “But Jesus does not classify people. He gathers up the different types of human life into one comprehensive unity of discipleship.”<sup>1</sup> In discussing the doctor and the lawyer I believe that I have in substance demonstrated the working-out of the Christian ideal, whatever the category into which a man may fall. “There is no activity of man which may not be the door, and into which and through which cannot enter that power of God which makes the man indeed to be God’s servant.”<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Paradise, *The Church and the Individual*.

<sup>2</sup> Phillips Brooks.

## LECTURE III

### CHRIST AND SOCIETY

“GRANTED the reality of religion, what is its contribution to modern life?” I have already warned you that my idea in these lectures is to defend the rationality and value of faith in Christ on the basis of my own physical, mental, and spiritual experiences. I do not pretend that I possess scientific acquaintance with our peculiar social conditions, nor do I claim to have any special expert knowledge of the most successful ways of improving them. The world is just learning that the first can only be gained by the same patient study we devote to medicine or law; the second, I am certain, only by a life of personal devotion.

It is necessary to do, not merely to talk, if we are to know the truth about the remedies for life's troubles and difficulties. Still,



with Browning, I realize that "God must be gained by first leap," and the object of this lecture is not to show that by any intellectual process man by searching can demonstrate God, but only that, with the advance of civilization, there is not a less but an ever-increasing need for what real religion has to contribute. There is proof enough of this on every hand for the man who is willing to experiment, to justify to his own mind his taking that leap, for society's benefit if not for his own.

One thing more is necessary to be made clear before going further, and that is, what do we mean by religion? By religion, in this lecture, I mean that following of the Christ which is a daily endeavor to interpret his teachings by translating them into action; or, in other words, trying to do what he would do if he were in our circumstances. If you were a housemaid, that would require you, in the words of the Salvation Army hymn, "to dust the shelf behind the door"; or if you were a king, "just to

king well," as one of your own humorists suggests.

Jesus was peculiar among religious teachers in being "no mere speculative philosopher," no pure scientist for science' sake. He was not of the type of Holmes's Scarabee. I always think of him as the family physician of the human race. Religion to him existed for the purpose of action; it was valuable solely for the service of mankind. In the very simplest language he tells us, not what God would have us think, but what God would have us do; putting within the reach of our daily life that which not only spells our redemption here and now, but also enables us to be redeemers ourselves, and so allows us to contribute that which is lasting to modern life. Was it not exactly in this faith that these lectures were founded?

Yet religion in the past has spent far more time and energy, and endured far more suffering and sacrifice and defeat, in endeavoring to perpetuate crystallized intel-

lectual attitudes and man-advised organizations, all calling themselves "churches," than in trying to reincarnate the life of the Master in their own.

A few years ago the visible churches awoke to the fact that they were fast becoming what is known as "back numbers"; and that the profession of the minister of religion was in danger of being side-tracked. They are awake now, however, and on all sides they are offering an increasingly valuable quota to modern civilization. "Churches" to me comprise all those religious institutions which, through helping forward the reign of peace, mercy, and reverence, induce righteousness, joy, and peace, which is the Kingdom of God. Christ himself says that their labels do not count for anything. The way we salute our general is of much less importance than the way we obey him. Victory is more momentous than tactics. "Christian men," to the Master, were those who were on his side, and every such institution, whether

Protestant or Catholic, Jewish or purely ethical, is directly enriching our life to-day. Nor is "the state merely a local association existing to prevent mutual injury and promote universal exchange. . . . The object of the political association is not merely a common life, but noble action," says Aristotle.<sup>1</sup>

The churches are awaking to the fact that the state can and must be "religious"; and that just in proportion as an institution has no creed, its religion can be universal. Christ himself propounded no creed, and all the churches can unite on those lines which call for no special creed, but merely for the recognition of man's brotherhood, which each and every church acknowledges. In this way we see the great hope of their future in the federation of churches which is everywhere growing up, and which is striving to unite all their efforts for the betterment of social conditions.

Let us take now, as instances, some of the

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Lyman Abbott, *Yale Lectures*.

outgrowths of the churches. I myself have seen enough of the Young Men's Christian Association and Young Women's Christian Association to know that, with their splendid buildings and intelligent work, they are materially adding to the comfort and uplift of life of tens of thousands, nay, of thousands of thousands, not only in this country, but the whole world round. They have even tried to make war comfortable. Apparently it narrows the limits of agencies to have to give examples and to name any particular helpful factors; but a doctor is impelled to illustrate his principles by quoting cases. Thus, again, who that knows anything of Robert College and its work, which helped so much to give freedom to Turkey; of the Beyrout College and its magnificent work for Syria and for the Mohammedan world generally; of the colleges in India which have shown that, given a chance, the downtrodden classes can successfully compete with the highest castes; of the huge hospitals in China, Ja-



pan, India, and the Islands of the Sea,—but knows perfectly well the truth that the churches are contributing liberally. To the nations everywhere Christianity is teaching the real value of human life, and so, especially in the East, is raising the whole aspiration of the people by making them understand what they may become. This is so much the case that in Japan the wisest scholars have declared that only in Christianity can they see an adequate basis for individual and national life. They make this statement in spite of the facts of the liquor and opium traffic carried on by Christian nations; in spite of anti-Christian travelers, bad officials, the selfishness of so-called governments, and the fallibility of missionaries and their methods.

To-day there is so much prejudice against the title “missionary” that many people, apparently, prefer to consider their lives purposeless rather than to admit that “mission” is only a synonym for “life.” We must remember that there are many

failures in American social life, and yet here also are many lives which are effective contributions to the world's economy. I do not wish to weary you with examples, but my interests being among sailors I might here testify to the value of such splendid institutes as that of your American Seaman's Society, recently erected in New York; to the similarly efficient but less expensive plants here in Boston, and to institutions of the same kind scattered all round the world. These all give men who are away from their homes a warm welcome, a place to rest and play, a good cheap lodging, and a safeguard from the land-shark and the crimp. Through all of these religion is making a serious Christian effort towards the solution of a problem which menaces the domestic life of those whose life-service to the community necessarily deprives them of the natural protection and help of their own homes. To me this is simply paying a debt to those to whom the cost of catching our fish and transport-

ing our merchandise is often only to be reckoned in "lives of men." It is still the privilege of religion to see that this debt is paid. If she fails to do so, some day it will be recognized by the men themselves as their right, and their own unions will provide it, once more taking from the church a chance to justify herself. Nay, more, this will anyhow be just as surely the case, if into the church's interpretation of religion she introduces the sense of patronage and intellectual superiority which have characterized her too much in the past, and which so ill become her as a servant of the Master.

There are more instances than one of big plants of this kind, just suited for practical messages of love, being totally unsuccessful because their flavor is spoiled by a sense of the "holier-than-thou" arrogance. It is this fact that makes men say that these efforts do little more than touch the real problem. Believe me, it is sadly enough that the working man passes the building

which *promises* exactly what he needs. If he does not enter, it is because he feels that the building is not really his. *Per contra*, an eminently successful effort of this kind to meet the needs of the working men is Hollywood Inn at Yonkers, New York. It was created by an Episcopal clergyman,<sup>1</sup> but is owned and run by the men themselves. They and their unions all find a home there, as do their clubs, their societies, and their friends. It is theirs. There they play what games they like. It just stands for clean games without gambling, and for drinks without alcohol. No public worship or preaching is considered necessary. It is just a demonstration of love, not a verbal message. As a result of it, Mr. Freeman's church found a thousand new communicant members, because he preached the undeniable Gospel.

Ten thousand other practical agencies are ever more and more trying to do things

<sup>1</sup> Rev. James Freeman, now of St. Mark's Church, Minneapolis.

in Christ's spirit, and these are forcing the world to acknowledge the contribution to life which religion can make. The increasing number of thoughtful men pouring out of our colleges, anxious to give life and intellect and money to the service of the world, is itself an offering which no man can estimate — though any fool can sneer at it. The growing passion for service has helped as many to work at home as it has sent out for that purpose to foreign fields.

To all intents and purposes, the *old idea* of the church is dying, if not dead. Thank God if it is. I could say with a whole heart, "The Church is dead! Long live the Church!"

But while it is good to review what the churches have accomplished, and to be able to derive from that courage and zeal for more service, the fact that there has been some success must be used only to prove to us that we can, and therefore *must*, do more. It is from the lips and pens



of acknowledged leaders of many churches, and from those who have given the most earnest thought to the subject, that we learn that, so far as some of the most vital issues of modern life are concerned, the visible churches at the present time are practically a side issue. Most assuredly their future existence depends upon the attitude which they now adopt. Other agencies outside all the communions will, if *they* fall short, take out of their hands the only functions which they can find to occupy their energies.

Thus, for example, at one time the church afforded all the educational advantages. At the bar of public opinion she was found guilty of prostituting that sacred office for purely party purposes, and so she has forfeited her right to the performance of that most vital function.

Social settlement workers, civic leagues, rightly administered labor unions are advancing ends which righteousness demands, and which the churches have considered

“outside their province.” As if they could afford to be silent, or sit on the fence, when any question affecting the vital issues of life was concerned. Only the other day here in Boston, in a book shop, I overheard two clergymen talking of the efforts made by the charity organization in their town to cope with the “social evil.” They had evidently been asked to coöperate, and one minister was explaining his refusal to the other by the remark, “But of course that sort of thing is quite outside the church’s domain.”

The man who is going to advance the Kingdom of God in the world in any way must be in the world enough to understand it. A clergyman whom I know always dresses in a light business suit and invariably lunches at a down-town club, that he may mix with other men, as the Galilean Carpenter did, and so may know the real minds and interests of those he is trying to help. Only by understanding a patient’s needs can any physician hope for success.

It is no use merely shouting, "Down with rich corporations," however bad they may be, unless we are prepared to find substitutes for them. Christ's religion especially is bound to be constructive. There is a danger of the shallow man shouting that his voice may be heard, just as there is that the scholar may be led into thinking too much. The proof of this is not difficult to discover. We have only to go and see why it is that some preachers face empty pews while other churches are packed with men.

The people in these days gauge things by their practical value; and men go to the church only if it has something to give them. They will go to "divine service" only if they find it inspires them to express better their own devotion in human service. This fact has further been exemplified among our own fishermen by a fishermen's union which started twelve months ago, and now has seventeen thousand members. In another twelve months it promises to

include the whole number of the most virile among them, because it has already helped them to get a fairer return for their labor, and to make their own voices heard in matters which concern their direct home and personal interests.

In our country the church buildings are more ornate and comfortable than ever,—better auditoriums, better heated, aired, and seated. The clergy are adding lantern lectures, social gatherings, and all the latest attractions copied from the churches here. But in spite of all this the pews are actually not one whit more crowded than when I went there twenty years ago.

The fact is that as yet church members have not realized the acuteness of the social problem. In Labrador this is excusable. There we are still living in a period of a hundred years ago. Our laboring classes have not yet acquired the advantages of education. They have only just begun to discover that if the workers go hungry and naked, while the thinkers live in super-

fluous luxury, there must be something wrong. Nor do they yet live near enough to the offensive selfishness of the idle rich to contrast their condition with the poor opportunity and wretched lot in life which is the best they can expect their own unceasing toil to afford. A large proportion of the aged and physically incapacitated workers still expect at the end of life to have to look to charity for the merest means of subsistence. The labor unions have already improved conditions, and the working classes are beginning to see that many things are not right; and that customs prevail which the men following Christ should never have countenanced.

Similarly, your own newspapers almost daily expose some abuse of the control of public utilities which is putting absolutely unfair remuneration into the hands of grafters. These offenses are beginning to grate badly on the sensibilities of the worthwhile citizen.

The same is true, again, of the injuries



inflicted upon communities by the holding-up of large tracts of land, and the consequent absorption of the unearned increment, by those who do absolutely nothing either to produce or to deserve it; who only cramp and crowd unmercifully those whose labor makes the value. The making of outrageous piles of money from the promoting of companies, by those who have no intention of carrying on the enterprises, is all wrong. While in London, it was largely advertised that I was to lecture under the title, "Midst Ice and Snow in Labrador." It so happened that quite a number of speculators had recently taken grants for timber areas in our country. Representatives from more than one such company offered me remuneration if I would consent to have my name used in connection with the scheme. The value of their proposition to the public was more than doubtful. One at least, which was the most insistent, was to my mind perfectly unsound as an investment, and I knew that no proper

precautions had been taken to insure the interests of the investors. It was simply a scheme of rogues for swindling the public and then reaping the benefits. The representatives were evidently shaking in their shoes as to what I was going to say of the country in my lecture, for they told me that my very title would make it difficult for them to sell the shares which they had underwritten.

Every method of accumulating wealth without working for it, or of reaping greater returns than the work done justifies, is beginning to arouse the ire of the great masses of the people. Whether they can find the remedy is open to question, but there is no doubt they are starting to look for it. Thank God, so are some of the churches. The church is bound by its philosophy to believe that it has a contribution for this as well as every other social trouble, and that there is a remedy, and that it is the church's business to find it.

The other day I came to get a few days'

rest at one of your large hotels, directly from Labrador, where a man has to work for every cent he earns. Far the most marvelous room in the immense luxurious pile was a small one in the basement, with a blackboard all over one side of the wall, on which were endless chalk figures. The manager, who was showing me around, said, "I saw one of the guests make six thousand dollars in that room last summer in the course of one morning. He gave a thousand of it to another man, and he lost it in an hour or two. It is really a kind of gambling-hell. They call it the Stock Exchange!"

A couple of years ago I was in the Casino at Monte Carlo, watching the roulette players. It amused me to see their antics for an hour or so. Yet most of them were playing for only paltry twenty-franc bills.

All that I am contending is that such indecent display of luxury, and all these other things cannot be right. I am not suggesting a remedy. But I am certain that

true religion offers one, and offers the only one.

With us in Labrador there are so few clergy, and so hard is it to get the chance to be married, that it is not an uncommon part of my duties as a surgeon and a magistrate to have to tie the wedding knot. But with us, when it is tied, it is tied, and it is never unloosed. Our law allows no divorce. We have to come to the United States and reside six months to obtain that. Bishop Potter, Shailer Mathews, Peabody, and others among our foremost modern thinkers on these matters are unanimous that the relation between husband and wife is second only to that between God and man — is the most sacred human relation. We *all* know what Christ said, — “that a man should forsake all others, and cleave to his wife.”

In France the proportion of divorces is enormous, and the death rate more than ever in excess of the birth rate. But right here in America, even taking into account

the increase of population, the proportion of divorce in thirty years has increased over one hundred per cent. It is interesting to note that in times of commercial depression the decrease in income has apparently knit the family closer together, and the number of divorces has dropped. It is also interesting to note that the proportion of divorces in the Western States is many times larger than in the Eastern.

Here again, in our modern life something is wrong. It seems right to me that *at last*, those who are being heard most forcibly in this matter, are members of the churches. But religion has not yet made itself felt as it might have done, and ought to have done, on so vital a question. Many of us are too busy with our own little dogmas or ritual or politics, and our own special doctrines, to attend to any of these things. We are so afraid that the saving faith which has outlived nineteen centuries without us needs us now to keep it in the old crystallized form in which it was when every



single aspect of the life it came to save was different. We consider it far more important for us to see that the remedy is administered in exactly the same shape as it was then, than that the active principle should be clothed and adapted to the idiosyncrasies, needs, and capacities for assimilation of the patients of to-day. If the church stands still, and everything else goes on, it seems quite probable that eventually she will be left behind. Calomel is the same drug as ever, and is still administered, but not as it was twenty-five years ago. Even if they are only new forms of old diseases, the treatment of the same old typhoid and the same old heart troubles is quite different nowadays.

Again, I am certain that no form of government can ever remedy these evils, so long as men's hearts are selfish. There seems little to-day to encourage reformers to hand over money and business responsibilities and control to modern legislatures, whether civic, state, or federal. Judging

by the report in the Book of Exodus, matters don't seem to have improved much since Moses' day. He was able to find one man out of every ten who *hated* covetousness, but he had promptly to appoint such persons to look after the other nine.

"America, to be saved from barbarism," says Dr. Abbott, "must be safeguarded by force from without, which is despotism, or by force from within, which is religion." He also quotes De Tocqueville, who says: "Religion is much more necessary in the republic which they [atheistic republicans] set forth in glowing colors than in the monarchy which they attack. It is more needed in democratic republics than in any others. How is it possible that society should escape destruction if the moral tie be not strengthened in proportion as the political tie is relaxed? And what can be done with a people who are their own masters, if they be not submissive to the Deity?"<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Lyman Abbott, *Yale Lectures*.

But it is no religion of scribe and Pharisee that is needed. It is said of a certain rajah that he kept as a pet a little white-haired pig. Nothing would cure it of wallowing in every mud pool it came to, till one of the ruler's wisest counsellors succeeded in removing its heart and substituting that of a young lamb. Education cannot give this new heart any more than political institutions can.

The world needs religion more than ever it did, but a religion that concerns every feature and phase of life. To be perfectly effective, it must separately inspire every individual with the spirit of unselfish love. For society is only an aggregate of individuals. That was distinctly the teaching of the Master, and his method of achieving his end.

It is as important in small matters as in large. Take the hundred and one other get-rich-quick methods, commercial shams and swindles. Reference to such trifles as the use of patent drugs and fraudulent

cure-alls imposed upon a gullible public might, it seems, be almost out of place in a discussion of this kind. But I have seen how the sale of these is almost in inverse proportion to the intelligence of the victims, which means so often in proportion to their ability to lose their money. If one of us loses a hundred dollars in a bucket-shop swindle, he can afford to laugh at the cleverness of the trick. But I have seen the bread-winner of a hungry and naked family stinting his children in proper food that he might send twenty dollars for an electric belt which was n't even a belt — much less electric. It is the privilege of those who know (i.e., of religion) to save those of their brethren who can't and don't know for themselves, from all unrighteous imposition.

Perhaps this is more easily recognized when the question is one of foods. The pure-food acts are, apparently, just the very kind of exceedingly mundane regulations which most men would disassociate from

religion. I believe they save as much suffering as many of the discoveries of medicine cure after the trouble has been caused. Those who enforce these acts certainly do Christian work.

The so-called social question is another matter of immense general importance. The veil which is drawn over it is a very thin one, and the existence of red-light districts is alone a blot on our social life. No doubt there is good in most of us, but alas, we have no imagination. If only the awful pathos of the intolerable burden of misery and shame which this spells were realized, the innate chivalry of manhood would rise in loathing against that which now it even dares to wink at. If men could see as I, a physician, have been forced to see, some of the last piteous scenes in the drama of these lost lives, they would realize that hell existed right alongside them, and that they were as surely creating it as the sentry who sleeps at his post causes destruction and death in his own household.



Just think of a brute who would condemn his own sister and daughter to such a fate. Then consider the creature who would spare his own, but damn the defenseless of others. Then imagine this creature posing as a man; nay, even daring to assume the title "Christian," attending public worship and arguing as to the intellectual method of salvation. Wrapped in her country's flag I buried on a rocky headland of our lonely coast just such a broken life — a tender girl of eighteen years, dead only because she dare not see her own home again. Has religion nothing to offer? Is there no remedy? If I thought so I would here and now in these lectures brand the pretenses of the Christ as false. It is because he has shown us the remedy and put it right into our hands, for this and every other social trouble, that I stand here to-day. Neither a Solon nor a Solomon can invent laws which will prevent this evil. Only Christ has taught us the cure for this canker of civilization — a cure which we all can use. It is

only by passing many laws against others, but one law against ourselves, and then seeing to it that it is carried out. You cannot make children good by punishing them; truly loving them is the only way; the love shown by the Master himself being our example. The fact is, the less you say "don't," the nearer you get to Christ's teaching. The "thou shalt nots" were all said by Moses. The religion of my youth was, practically, though not quite in the sense in which Harvard uses the word, "Thou shalt not — or the Gridiron!" That is no way to induce righteousness. It merely fixes in the mind a desire for the forbidden things. This is pathetically shown when for any reason the will control is suspended — for instance, by the language a most unlikely person may use when under an anæsthetic, or in a period of temporary insanity.

The Master teaches that a negative, a void, is our greatest danger, and that our supreme source of strength is first to love

some one else. He says, "Thou shalt love." Experience teaches that to love him is the real remedy. I have been asked why some men, to use your expressive slang, "go to the devil" young, and why some others, after an apparently innocuous life, follow the same road after middle life. Experience again suggests that there is, as it were, an opsonic index of character as well as in physical things — and a personal and possibly a physical nervous equation of resistance. Both speak to me of the need of some other positive source of strength outside ourselves, to supply our lack.

The drink question is another modern problem of exactly the same kind. I don't know where to begin to bring this subject from the abstract to the concrete. One can begin anywhere. Its tragedies are familiar to all of us. They are positively commonplace. We were fighting in the city in which I lived for the closing of saloons on Sunday. Half an hour before the meeting I was called to see a distracted mother

whose only boy, a splendid young fisher lad, had just fallen drunk over the quayside and lost his life. The scene of the accident was within easy stone's throw of the platform of the town hall, from which I tried to address an excited and turbulent meeting packed by hired supporters of the liquor traffic. Even while the crowd inside was passing a hostile resolution not to close the saloons, the piteous crowd outside was dragging the river for the body of yet another victim of the evil. The specialist in physiological chemistry of your largest hospital declared not long ago, that alcohol is without doubt the greatest curse of civilization. I heard recently at Lowell how the liquor traffic is forcing drunkenness just for gain on the large Greek quarter, by planting in their midst a saloon with a renegade Greek as manager.

Among my own patients was once a university graduate, a young married man, a millionaire, of brilliant mind, an only son,

raving with delirium tremens. Think of the misery and wretchedness which even his palace could do nothing to mitigate. I could duplicate this sort of instance many times, and from my own University of Oxford, showing that education, family, rank, and intelligence are no safeguards against this danger.

This question has agitated the public mind very seriously for many years. A consensus of opinion of our judges holds alcohol responsible for nine tenths of our crimes. Statisticians have proved that it costs more in money than any other earthly thing. Philanthropists have shown that in mental and bodily suffering it is the most expensive modern agent. Physicians are equally decided that it is more fruitful in disease than any other single poison, organic or inorganic. In short, the world is at last convinced that as a beverage, it is neither necessary nor desirable. But alas, no one can prove that mankind cannot be trained to like it, and even if we



could prove it, that is not the contribution of religion. The contribution of religion is, we do like it but we will not touch it, because of the stumbling-block it is to others. What answer the Christ would give to this question, I leave each man who loves his brother to settle for himself. His religion can never be satisfied anyhow with "thou shalt not": something more than that is needed for others — but He himself set the example of "I will not."

Too long the idea of the divine revelation has been that it is like a kind of fairy story beginning with "Once upon a time there was"; a passable method for childhood, like milk for babes, but a passing one also, and of no use for rapidly growing manhood. To be divine at all, revelation must be "living." There is too much of the flavor of death about "life in abeyance," and to religion the only alternative to life is spiritual death. The vital contribution of the church is, moreover, only by the life of its individual members. Not

by setting up ideals and talking about them, but by being the ideal yourself, will you exhibit the treatment of the Master for these ills.

The fact is you yourself are the only offering you can make which is undeniable, to this or any other problem. This is the true service which love demands of you. When religion stands for this, Noble Lectures will be unnecessary, and preaching will once again have reached its climax — at its own starting-point.

I admit that it is only a little of the burden at best which any one of us can lift, but together we can lift a lot. I was once most generously accorded a reception by a representative of every church, including some laymen like myself. Amongst us were the Catholic priest, the Protestant clergy, and the Jewish rabbi. I ventured to suggest that if in the centre of our circle were placed a visible burden like that of the world which needed lifting, we should all rush together, and however little each

accomplished, the result would be that the whole weight would be raised.

I have not referred to every question of modern life. If I tried to do so, the end of these lectures would probably be delivered to empty seats. I should have liked to touch upon the problem of world peace — a question on which so much thought and effort are now being expended. Religion has everything to contribute to this.

How to contribute is always a far more important question than "Can I contribute?" and here the function of the minister or specialist in service should naturally come in. "What can I do to inherit eternal life?" was treated by the Master as a perfectly sane question. He never said, "You've *nothing* to do." Human reason refuses any longer to accept the idea that the faith which is without works can save anybody. The virtuous man is no longer regarded as a distinct species from the holy man. For my part the social settlements as well as

the churches have all my affection, though I was once taught to look upon them as a positive menace to religion.

For some years I had the privilege of watching at close range the work at Toynbee Hall in Whitechapel. From the time of its inception one thing at least I can vouch for, many lives were elevated by their efforts, many sufferers relieved, many homeless ones cheered, the power of many evil men was taken away, the hungry were fed, the naked clothed, wrongs were righted, hope inculcated, comfort carried, — and all these things at great personal cost. There was a singular absence of being puffed up, of seeking their own, of being easily provoked. There is simply no room for the sounding of brass and the tinkling of cymbals in the modern settlement as I have seen it, and my experience seems to be similar to that of thoughtful and quite unprejudiced people with whom I have discussed the matter. I have often thought I should value the title of “Christian”

more if I might be allowed to share it with those friends in the settlements. He who would say of such, "Go to, I am holier than thou," seems to me to be sufficiently presumptuous to risk his own right to the title. I know few who could afford to throw the first stone at them. The mere fact that in a place like Hull House no assemblies for public worship are found advisable seems to me as sane an argument for condemning them as for a similar reason condemning the claims of a surgical operating theatre or a convalescent ward. Is not Hull House truly an operating theatre? "There can be no truth of science," says Paradise, "which is not also a truth of religion. There can be no discovery of nature's laws which is not also a revelation of God. There can be no passion of service to mankind which is not also true discipleship of Jesus Christ." <sup>1</sup>

I was taught to consider labor movements as anarchical and atheistic. I have

<sup>1</sup> *The Church and the Individual.*



been immensely encouraged to find the reverence for the Christ which so many of the leaders possess. They are now by no means alone, thank God, in realizing that idealism must dominate the production of wealth as well as its distribution. The financial pirate no longer ranks higher in the world's estimate than the poor fellow who steals a loaf, even if he does subscribe to dogmas unknown to the latter. The wayward city juvenile is being treated in an intelligent Christian manner, and efforts are being made to save his soul, rather than merely to punish his body. So also the study of scientific sociology and the establishment of the new profession of the expert sociologist is a Christian advance. They are all, after all, only the study of how to love your neighbor wisely.

There is no snobbery in recognizing that money has a religious value, and, like time, should be put to a religious use. To my mind the advice which Jesus gave to the rich young man, to sell all he had and give

it to the poor, has, like much else of the Master's teaching, been willfully misunderstood. The young man was n't told to make a fool of himself, or dump his wealth, or injure others by senseless gifts, or that every rich man should shirk his responsibility and put it upon other shoulders. To even give away money is a worthy life problem, and the world is recognizing it to be such; nay, it is not only demanding that men shall use their wealth, but that they use it wisely. It is perfectly true "that the condition for permanence and primacy is service, and that knowledge is the condition of service." There are men, and perhaps the rich young man was among them,—I know one or two,—whom I would certainly class in the category of those whom it would be wise to separate from their money in order to save their own lives. More and more are endeavoring wisely to distribute their own wealth themselves, and so prevent its doing harm and causing waste; and this is ever more

and more being demanded of them as a religious service.

As in my last lecture I urged upon you the consideration that any manly profession may be, nay, to be truly manly must be, carried on as a Christian service, in this I wish to emphasize a yet higher and wider conception — that all social service in its broadest sense is but a reasonable Christian activity. Not only in his private life, but also in his public life, a man may bring Christ's dynamic power into the community; and only in that way can rich and poor, the struggling and those at ease, ever hope to behold "how good and pleasant a thing it is to dwell together in unity." In that state "there can be no truth of science which is not also a truth of religion. There can be no discovery of nature's laws which is not also a revelation of God. There can be no passion of service to mankind which is not also true discipleship of Jesus Christ."

The knowledge of God is life; complete

correspondence with him is eternal life. To ask what is the contribution of religion to life is to ask what life is. Religion can contribute to existence, but real religion makes LIFE.

## LECTURE IV

### CHRIST AND THE DAILY LIFE

IN this, my last lecture, I am anxious to show that which I most truly believe, namely, that all through the ages, all that which has been worth while, all that which has tended to uplift the world, all that which has made for the noblest ends, has been accomplished by the possession of the spirit of the Master, if not the profession of his service or the knowledge of his name. I read a story once by Laura E. Richards, called "The Grumpy Saint." While walking along the highway one day he met a poor woman staggering under a burden which she was not fit to carry. When she asked his help, he scolded her for attempting such a task, upbraided her husband for permitting it, and the world for making it necessary. But he took the load and carried it to her door. A little farther along he met a child



who had lost her way and was crying with fear and cold and hunger. When she asked him the way he demanded what the child thought he was for! How could he waste time? Besides, he did n't know where her home was, and in any case it was her own fault for being disobedient and running away. But he took off his coat and wrapped it about the child, and gave her the food he had prepared for himself on the journey. Then he lifted her up and carried her, until eventually he left her in her mother's arms. Was or was not this man a disciple of Jesus Christ?

It is with this thought in my mind that I have decided, though without any more claim to be a historian than a theologian, to try to show, though it can be little more than a mere suggestion in one brief lecture, that the records of achievement left throughout history by men who are Christians by Christ's own standard are such as any common-sense person at his best would envy. If we understand them rightly, they

show us that just in proportion as the men had the spirit of Jesus, they were worth while; and they teach that if we wish to make good in life, we too must aspire to gain it. He who, gauged by the Master's valuation, deserves of posterity the title "Christian," has never left behind him a record of inefficiency. On the other hand, I want to leave it in your minds as my testimony that those who are consciously striving to follow a Christ whom they know, and whom they acknowledge, possess a compelling power over and above the force of those men who have only a passion for abstract righteousness, or an innate fineness of moral calibre. Beyond question the Master himself taught this. *That* is the power which has given the world pictures like that of James Gilmore of Mongolia, absolutely alone crossing the great wall of China, and alone wandering on foot along the byways of Manchuria, that he might reach the hitherto untouched Mongols of the desert of Gobi. It was that spirit which

kept Livingstone in Africa, sent Gordon to Khartoum and Father Damien to Molokai. These men's lives are valuable heritages for all time, to hold up as examples of unselfish courage, exactly as Christ's own is. They are an heirloom of which any nation may be proud, whether or not the men had a correct apprehension of absolute truth.

The Christian of Christ's lifetime was a very human person, in direct natural communication with the Master. He was not peculiar in his dress, his method of worship, or his theories of life. He was not remarkable for his mysticism, his idealism, or any other "isms." He loved and married like other folk, and enjoyed the good things of life as well as they. He was just a *man*. He could lie like Peter, seek graft like James and John, be conceited like Thomas, or fail in loyalty like Judas. He was not gifted with any peculiar perspicacity.

None of the disciples apparently, in spite of their singular advantages, knew who

Christ was, or why he was unlike other men in not seeking to save himself. The Christian of that day was not, so far as we can tell, an intellectual genius or a spiritual giant. What Christ made these men we all know; and what he made of them he can make of us. Fisherman John became wise enough to describe the Master as "the Word of God," and leave the world monographs which will outlive the writings of all the sages. Custom-house officer Matthew left us a document which even a Hawthorne with a similar training in the nineteenth century could not parallel. There is no reasonable doubt that the courage of Simon Peter and his runaway friends, in later life, has never been excelled. In their tireless bodily work, in their marvellous mental productions, in their lofty unselfish conduct, in mind, body, and spirit, this company of men, through contact with Jesus Christ, came to embody all that would make any good man wish to be ranked of their number. The lofty posi-

tion which they have occupied in men's estimation, and which they still occupy, was fully justified, and will, I believe, be more and more deeply realized, whatever happens in the future to dogmatic theology. Their attractiveness was undoubted, for everywhere men joined them; not for what they could get, for that was seldom attractive, but for what they could in their turn give. It certainly was not so much the desire for gain here or hereafter, as the belief that the Kingdom of God on earth could use what they had to contribute, that fired men's hearts to loyalty for the organization they founded. The mistaken idea of the immediate coming of the end of the world and the short road to eternal bliss was no doubt a comfort and an attraction to them. But it was not their Master's teaching as we read it. It is evidence of the continued human liability to error even among those who were the very closest to Christ's person. When men were near to Christ, they needed nothing but



the atmosphere of his spirit to attract them to him, but as they got farther and farther away from him in spirit and in time, they used this doctrine as a bait to compensate for the loss involved in this world by becoming his followers. But I will not believe it was ever the chief factor in the appeal to follow Christ, any more than the promise attached to the Fifth Commandment makes me honor my father and mother.

As for the name "Christian," it was originally given in contempt, and was used by men of the world as a stigma and a reproach. From that reproach Christians themselves soon redeemed it by displaying the spirit of Christ. It came to stand for that humility, mercy, and justice which the Scripture tells us God calls for still. It spelled loyalty, courage, and self-sacrifice; and the world, ever able to recognize if not always willing to accept the noblest, in a few centuries changed its attitude. The Christian knight became the ideal of history.

It was not long, however, before the organization of the scattered groups into bodies for mutual strength and protection began seriously to disturb the minds, not only of those who represented the vast interests of religion, but of the temporal powers as well. Rich as well as poor began to feel the force of the call of Jesus, and he found followers even in Cæsar's household. The simplicity and attractiveness of the Christian was a protest against evil. How is it we seldom see any persecutions of modern Christians? It is certainly not because there is no graft in high places.

When for the first time I wandered through the old Coliseum at Rome, it was at night, by moonlight, and the spirits of the men who had suffered upon the very ground I trod seemed almost visible. I, a so-called Christian, felt humiliated, not repelled.

Yet persecution never really injured the growth of faith in Christ. Those who tried to follow in his footsteps grew more and

more numerous. Rottenness began from within. In the organization itself there grew up rank and privilege; talkers began to count as higher than workers and claim for themselves special proximity to the Master. As if Jesus himself had not talked far more in works than in words, had not laid far more emphasis on works, had not devoted far more time to works, and had not referred those in doubt to his works as his indorsement. This restraint on Christ's part from laying down dogmas is more remarkable, the longer one thinks about it. That sects should still be unable to settle whether Saturday or Sunday is the true day of rest, that men should be able even to attempt to defend slavery, or to try to enforce celibacy on the authority of his teaching, is evidence of the scope he left for individuality by never laying down minute rules, but only enunciating general principles.

Yet it is always easier to talk than to do, and presumably the cleverer men soon dis-

covered this. Alas, talking, more especially on matters or facts about which we cannot appeal to our physical senses, is just as likely to divide as doing is certain to unite. As late as the Reformation, no theological question was too slight to engender hatred, and even to provoke civil war. Indeed, so began the differences which invented "heresy," and then councils and creeds to define and locate and eradicate it.

With the advent of classes in the church, one ruling and the other serving, social differences became easy to justify, and in fact almost inevitable. Moreover, it seemed suddenly to dawn on the real outsiders, the men without the Master's spirit, that Christianity was a mighty force, and formed a bond between men which was far more durable than any involuntary one. It only needed careful using and it would serve to bolster up temporal as well as spiritual power. So gradually was evolved the complex and immense structure of the Papacy. Eventually there followed in the

name of Christ so fantastic an interpretation of his service as the Crusades. Whatever the church taught verbally, it practically set up at that period the possession of property as an object for worship, and so at once destroyed the Christ vision of the paramount value of life.

Like the graphic representation of the human heart beat on the sphygmographic drum, the track of real Christ-following through history seems to have risen and fallen in a kind of rhythm, though at times, like a hectic temperature chart, to have been little above the neutral line. Whether there will ever be millennial peace on earth, or whether the waxing and waning warfare is essential for the evolution of our souls' welfare, may be open to question, but that the true Christ-following has always brought out the heroic in men is not open to doubt. It seems somehow that conflict is necessary for the perfection of character. I know that in navigating our coast to-day I feel twice as reliable a pilot



for the bad times I have had on so many rocks.

Anyhow, the organization designed to foster and safeguard Christ's Kingdom gradually deprived men of all personal freedom. The leaders not only "suffered themselves to be called Master," but positively liked it, and eventually insisted they were so, till the persecutions which they themselves instituted against men who evinced Christ's spirit were ten times more cruel than those instigated by the early pagans. The Duke of Alva was a type of such men. But in spite of this, the organization harbored all the while the living germ, without which it must have died, and with which, with all its shortcomings, it slowly helped to advance the true Kingdom of God. The germ, however, sometimes sank to the bottom, like the currants in a badly mixed cake, and few managed to obtain it.

The biographies and autobiographies of men of action have always been the most

attractive literature to me. Whether or not they have had the orthodox label, the appeal of such lives is just as great and must tend to kindle any spark of manliness in us — that our brief day of life may also be used to some noble purpose. To me it has been a thousand times helpful to look back upon the story of the centuries, and realize how differently men interpret the call of God to them, and how varied are the services which can be approved as “Christian.” It is only the brave efforts through the ages of men of that type, men often of very ordinary attainments, which have even partially given us back our freedom to-day. But we are still far from spiritually free. Numbers of men and women are still tangled up in the meshes and intricacies of theologies and theories and conventions. Many are still satisfied to submit to external authority instead of their individual vision. But if religion is to grapple with the social questions of to-day, to attract when it can no longer compel,

and to satisfy the practical minds of modern youth, it must come down from heaven to earth, and this even though the process will involve much heart-burning on the part of the theologian, and still some martyrdom on the side of those who break with the old order.

How are men to decide who is a Christian or how far the inability to say, "Rabbi, thou art the Christ, the Son of God," made eleven out of twelve disciples forfeit their right to the title? For example, no body of men will agree as to the claims of world-influencing writers like Goethe, Shakespeare, or Locke; of scientists who have advanced knowledge, like Copernicus, Newton, or Darwin; of artists who have altered the conception of art, like Raphael, Michael Angelo, or Da Vinci; of statesmen who have changed the course of history, like William of Orange or Oliver Cromwell; of earnest truth-seeking philosophers so different as Plato, Kant, and Spencer; indeed, any of the whole gamut of human

beings whose lives have been used for the amelioration of the conditions of life on earth, and have contributed their quota toward making the Kingdom of God more possible here and now. We are too apt to grudge other people their haloes, and too fond of trying to preen our own — a difficult matter under any circumstances! Our judgment ought surely to depend upon what we consider *was* the Kingdom Christ came on earth to found. The Kingdom of God for which I am working is an ideal world, a world in which the soul's environment, which of course includes the body in which it dwells, must be made more ideal. Even pagans so long ago as the philosopher Lucian stated the opinion that the soul is as much helped by the flesh as the flesh by the soul. Yet it has become necessary for both of these truths to be demonstrated alongside us in Boston, as if they were new discoveries of the twentieth century. They seem to have been forgotten or neglected by the churches.

That is exactly what gives us the fun of service — because it includes everything we can do to help out. The “joy of service” is so much exalted in these days that one might almost suppose there existed normally a craving for the joy of uselessness. That is the supreme joy of the barnacle, who, though born a free-swimming animal, prefers even in his youth a life of inaction, and after fastening his head to a rock spends the remainder of his days kicking food into his mouth with his hind legs.

Again, surely we can look upon as disciples of the Christ all those who from purely patriotic motives have devoted their stay on earth to the welfare of their country, and at personal risk and sacrifice have sought to raise her to their highest ideals. Fighting may not be the ideal Christian way to gain an end, but we must remember that Christ does not judge men by what they do not see, but by what they do see. Who would not gladly face the supreme



tribunal, so far as their patriotism is concerned, with the record of Gustavus Adolphus, Joan of Arc, Count Cavour, Louis Kossuth, the Duke of Wellington, or George Washington, and among living men the heroes of the Japanese War? Or, seeing the cruel straits and horrible conditions inflicted on Germany by Napoleon, who would not follow a Stein, a Bismarck, or a Moltke? Certainly many of these men think *themselves* Christians just as much as we regard *ourselves* in that light. I was reared on stories like that of General Havelock and his saints, of Clive and Lawrence, of Wolfe and Drake. It will probably be long, however, before the French believe Bismarck was not lying when he said: "If I did not believe Providence had destined this nation for something great and good, I should at once give up my position as a diplomat or never have entered on it at all." Yet human judgment on a Gordon who stayed the cruelties of the Taiping Rebellion, or

a Cromwell who opposed the divine right of kings, or even a Lincoln who fought to free his fellows from slavery will no doubt be different according to the tribunal before which they are tried. The right interpretation of true loyalty must be left to each man's conscience. Moses, David, and Paul expressed their willingness to be castaways themselves if their people might be saved. For my part, I can quite conceive the profession of arms, at any rate in the past, as being a religious service, and as often seeming to such men the only means available for advancing the Kingdom of God. Centurions were among Christ's first followers. Personally, I thank God for the view of a wide and ever-changing range of service.

The unendurable miseries of the masses at the time of the French Revolution called for a Christian champion and found none. Surely this was only for lack of the vision of their opportunities. Even if the churches of any day are no more Christian

than the temporal powers, nevertheless, God's purposes will be wrought out without us if we will not help. There can be no doubt that the ultimate result of the Revolution was a distinct gain to the kingdom of righteousness, joy, and peace, — that the present happy and prosperous French peasantry was made possible by it, and that the lessons it impressed on the rulers of the world materially hastened the broader brotherhood of man. But had true men with the Master's spirit been forthcoming to guide the process, who can doubt but that the same ends could have been accomplished without the horrors and infamies that the Revolution involved? It was Guizot, not an ecclesiastic, who, when he fled to England as the only stable throne in Europe, said to Lord Shaftesbury, "Sir, it is their religion which has saved the English nation."

It sometimes takes catastrophes to show the church as well as the world the incalculable opportunities to make life worth

while, which they are constantly throwing away. That it is as much the vision as the will which men need is shown by the fact that only five years before the Revolution a French historical philosopher wrote: "The political system of Europe has arrived at perfection. Few reforms are needed. There is no need nowadays to fear a revolution." <sup>1</sup>

As an absolute antithesis to the services of the physical fighter to the Kingdom of God, take that of the philosopher, Hugo Grotius. Stirred by the wholesale condemnation of people to death for heresy, and the frightful cruelties perpetrated on the innocent and noncombatants in war, he satisfied his passion for service by the writing of long books in Latin. By his immortal work, "*De Jure Belli et Pacis*," he awakened the world to the Christian sense of God's international family, and he laid the foundation for all future international law. There seems no fear of the

<sup>1</sup> *Seven Great Statesmen.*

overcrowding of this particular branch of service to-day; the writing of books in Latin is a little out of vogue. But who shall doubt that it was a truly Christian service, and that the law schools to-day have God-like opportunities yet open to them before the reign of peace universal.

It is not part of my scheme to publish a schedule of Christian services. In ten thousand experiences of everyday life we cannot fail to see that God not only permits but seeks our coöperation in the establishment of his Kingdom. If we find this out too late and have to look back on a life full of opportunities which we have let slip, we can have no longer any excuse to mitigate our remorse.

Now that we cannot be forced to do so, we no longer admit that God will only make his will plain through a third party. God certainly does make plain the way of life to those who seek it in sincerity and truth. We are no longer accountable to human authority. Bismarck once rebuked the



autocratic Wilhelm the First for sneering at the word "pietist," by saying, "Christianity is not the creed of Court chaplains." And the finicking arguments of the religionists made the great Doctor Jowett of Balliol, Oxford, once say, "All wise men have the same religion, but no wise man will say what it is."

It will surely comfort some who, from their evangelical point of view, might be troubled with fears that this broad interpretation was dangerously modern and incompatible with the simple teaching of the Gospel, to know that so unquestionable a Christian as George Fox taught that "every hunger of the heart, every dissatisfaction with self, every sense of shortcoming, shows that the soul is not unvisited by the Divine Spirit. To want God at all implies some acquaintance with Him." In all sorts and conditions of men Fox always appealed to "that of God," or "the Christ within them." We know them by their fruits, not by their catechisms.

The only real heathen and heretics are the purely selfish. It is for our own sakes as well as theirs that we desire their conversion. For while they are losing all life has to give, we are losing the share they might contribute. Alas, there are still many rich in talents who find it costs too much simply to follow the Master.

For my part, I am so sure that God is Love that I never worry a moment about whether divine wisdom and power could n't have devised an easier road for redemption than willing personal service. That to me is simply loyalty, and of that quality the *professing* Christian has no monopoly.

I never believed that following the Master meant having no will of our own. Christ had a will of his own. We are "to stand on our feet, and hear what the Lord will say to us." God wants men with a will. Only that will must be linked with God's. Self-will and selfishness are always obviously an absolute bar to unity between God and man and between man and man. I have

always had a holy horror of the teaching that the Christian religion calls for a back-boneless type of person, the simpering, long-haired, effeminate creature so familiar in "sacred art."

Art is no art at all if it is n't sacred, if it does n't comfort and uplift. It does n't inspire me to see my ideal of human life, the Christian knight, the man of every age and every station and every calling who is doing God's work, held up to ridicule as a sickly, effeminate imbecile. I always pictured the Christ at college as captain of the football team, or stroke of the 'Varsity boat, or one of the honor men, because these were what I wanted to be myself.

It is this hideous teaching, that secular and sacred can be separated, and must be labelled so, which formerly made men estimate the claimants to religion at their own valuation: namely, that they were fitted for talking, but not for competing in anything else which pertains to human life, and were chiefly remarkable for the things

they did not do. "Consecration, not renunciation, makes the highest character." <sup>1</sup>

So long as we make the division, so long as Christ-following does not mean every single method and way that can make this world better and brighter, Christ-following is robbed of its dignity, its joy, its utility, — and its future.

Let us descend to the concrete for a moment. In Labrador it was religious to conduct public worship, to lead a prayer-meeting, to marry, to baptize, to bury, to take up collections, to foster guilds. It was secular to do medical, legal, commercial, or any kind of work by which men can earn a living. It was religious to visit and condole with the hungry. It was very distinctly secular to run a coöperative store and feed them. It was religious to pray on Wednesday night that God would give the people a good fishery. It was secular on Thursday to make twine cheap, to build a bait freezer, and to introduce motor dories.

<sup>1</sup> Doctor Allen.

It was religious to give old clothing to naked families. It was secular to introduce looms, sheep, reindeer, and to teach the women to weave durable and fitting woolen clothing for their families. It was religious to pray that God would keep idle folk's hands from mischief. It was secular to set to work to keep those same hands remuneratively busy. Finally, in Labrador, none but "fossil men" wondered why every one wanted to be "worldly." If Christ's men are to be known by their works, surely Christ's work is to be known by its efficiency to redeem.

I have spent now much of your time and mine in defending the perfect rationality of Christian faith. I have suggested many times that like all other things it must be accepted or rejected on the ground of its practical value. But I realize that it is as a surgeon that I am addressing you. I would naturally expect you to ask now, "What are the specific things which I can do to gain the faith which you consider so valuable?"

If I am right and you are looking to these



lectures for such advice as I may have to give here and now from my own experience, I should say first of all cut out whatever sin you are conscious of. You will find it an immense help to let it be known on which side you are. It takes a lot of pluck to do that, but it makes a man of one. It is still true that "whosoever would save his life shall lose it," and it must often be at the cost of ambition and popularity that the door of the Kingdom of Heaven is opened.

If the certain deterioration of physical and mental capacities through dallying in the slightest degree with drink and vice does not deter men from indulging in them, at least if they will follow the Christ they will refrain for the sake of making the path of righteousness easier for others.

All through my lectures my attitude will have appeared as depreciative to the organized churches. Believe me, my criticisms are the wounds of a friend. I realize that the conditions in America to-day are not those of England twenty years ago. The

church certainly is beginning to wake up. Its members are realizing that there is a loose screw, and are looking about to locate it. I believe to-day you will find in her that which is essential for your development, namely, constructive work which you can do. She will also give you the realization of spiritual fellowship between yourself and God, and between yourself and others who are in earnest about life, which it is her especial prerogative to afford, and of which she should allow no other interest to deprive her. Join her and help her. She, too, to-day is making for the uplift of humanity. She needs all you can give; and she certainly will give it back to you again with interest.

For my part, I find the world is good. It is a most reliable paymaster, whichever way you make your investment, and I am glad to be in it. Everything seems to have a purpose, and from that fact I deduce a purposer. The world seems reasonable, and therefore likely to end reasonably. The evo-

lution of love, the development of intellect, the unceasing metabolism of the body, considered with the principle of the conservation of energy, always seemed to me to argue against the annihilation of personality. But after all, it is only a reasonable service in this world, not omniscience, which is asked of me. Some men hate the whole universe, because they realize how brief the tenure of the things they love in life is. But I am no pessimist. Knowing that I only stay for a time alongside of what I call my property, I am still delighted with all I get, enjoying immensely the use of it while I have it, and believing, as Christ teaches, that so-called death cannot rob me of spiritual friendships and assets. If I count what I can contribute to life, and not what I can get out of it, that of itself makes it worth while. The gauge is not what we have, but what we do with what we have.

I am as sure that I am not my body as I am that I am not my house. But for all that, I know that I am I, and that I shall always

continue to be so is sufficiently probable to satisfy me. Exactly what will befall me hereafter has not yet entered into the heart of man. Judging from popular ideas, very far from it.

That men in this world are by no means physically equally endowed, every doctor knows, and every mother ought to know. Christ never taught that they were. He insisted only that we should recognize our common brotherhood, not that we should quarrel about being unequal. As for the free-will controversy, Christ taught that the only free men are those whom he sets free from the slavery of self. Self-service was the captivity from which he came to set his people free.

To suppose that all men's intellectual capacities are identical is absurd, and yet with this premise in a world of utterly imperfect knowledge we play at the solution of religious unity, as if, under the circumstances, it could ever be uniformity, either in thought or in method of expression. There

must ever be endless permutations and combinations when it comes to intellectual apprehensions. So long as we cling to any humanly devised definitions, which we insist upon as articles of faith necessary to salvation, we shall inevitably insure discord for all time. Together with these initial differences, and with imperfect data, we must take into consideration the changes which new environments and new experiences make in the same individual. Thus for my own part I was once absolutely intolerant of all forms and ceremonies in public worship. Now I expect to value ever more and more beauty and orderliness in the expression of it.

At the time of my own decision, twenty-five years ago, the current version of the doctrine of evolution was a very new and staggering idea to every one. But my faith was never seriously troubled. Perhaps the fact that at that time I was deep in the study of anatomy and physiology showed me that the temple of man's soul was so



marvelously adapted to the environment of a world like this, that I saw no reason why we should have expected, as Balfour has since suggested, that just because a similar form suited lower animals, some new design ought to have been devised for us. Further, evolutionists argued, not only that all improvements in physical conditions were attained by intellectual processes such as the Davy safety lamp, or Jenner's vaccine, merely fortuitous advances further fitting our race for survival, but also that every disinterested motive, every spiritual impulse was just one more device for the same end. I never could believe such good fruit could come from such unpromising trees. Anyhow, I did n't want to believe it, for the boys I was teaching at that time needed no encouragement to go and steal the jam, as I found more than once at our annual summer encampment.

Materialism has shot its bolt anyway, and of late the pendulum has swung the other way. The new knowledge of the

periodic law, the divisibility of the atom, the possible identity and intermutability of what we used to consider elements, the hypothesis that all matter is only after all a form of electricity or motion, the discovery of radium and the suggestion of the possibility of perpetual motion, all show us that of all the ways in which we interpret Scripture, none can possibly be considered final. Orthodox Christianity has suffered a good deal from lack of humility, but our scientific friends have little to boast of in that direction.

Because no one has been able to comprehend the doctrine of the Atonement, or to compress the definition of it into words, I see no reason to reject it, or for me to be anxious for those who fail to accept it. Definitions and doctrines, anyhow, were never vital to my faith. The realization of a living Christ, with all that that implies, seems all that he expected of me. Just to live, "as seeing him who is invisible," is my one ideal which embraces all the lesser ideals of my

life; to do in all circumstances what I think he would do in my place, not what he would have done in Judæa two thousand years ago. There was no temptation to waste golden hours over bridge-whist in those days.

The expression of my religion has to be practical to satisfy me, though I have no doubt whatever of the religion of those who are satisfied with mystical experiences alone. I quite realize that my faith is only faith. But I know that every one has to begin all knowledge with faith. My faith is only my base for action, as is every one's else. Moreover, it is the only possible base. The faith of exceedingly fallible senses is at the bottom of all actions. It is a marvel that we get on as well as we do, seeing that the evidence of our senses so frequently deceives us. In reality they afford us no road at all by which to arrive at truth.

To act on faith seems to me to be on surer ground, and I try to strengthen it by reading my Bible with common sense. I am

glad to believe that his faith gave Bartimeus his eyesight, — especially for Bartimeus' sake, — for the value to me to-day of a single cure done nineteen hundred years ago is problematical, unless it teaches me how to repeat it. But I believe my faith not only made me see, but what is more, I do actually believe it has enabled me to help others to their vision, both physically and mentally.

But what is the use of all this talking! I would not cross the road, much less come all the way from Labrador, unless I felt there was some desirable end which might be reached thereby. The object of the Noble Lectures, as I have said, seemed to me a decidedly practical one, namely, to induce in the minds of the hearers a keener desire to stand in life for just those things that Christ stood for, to beget a determination to reincarnate his life, and so attain the whole achievement of which ours is capable.

How far this effort has been successful only God knows. I have worried you with

long lists of names of men and the long records of deductions from other lives than mine, solely because it seemed to me that the best way to advocate the adoption of principles is to illustrate their effect in action. Moreover, it is only from the empirical standpoint that I, a physician from the confines of civilization, venture to address you in this metropolis of all philosophies. The knowledge of the immense factor in public life which your universities have become was an additional incentive, emboldening me to accept the invitation you extended.

I have seen the results of the change of attitude of the exponents of Christ's religion from the controversial and tyrannical methods of so many centuries back to the brotherly methods of the Master; from the failure of their attempts to be their brother's keeper, to success in becoming their brother's brother. And to-day, ten times more than ever before, I am an optimist as to the future. In spite of the in-



crease of Dreadnoughts and superdreadnoughts, I seem to see a distinct moral progress in the relation of nations to one another, and in the new social movement opportunities and improvement in the relation of man to man. Experience has taught me what a blessing for the real ills of humanity this promises. Surely I may plead that it is as compelling a force to a physician, this desire to give to others the benefits of a remedy he has come to value so highly himself; as is any professional oath he may have taken to keep secret no treatment he uses for physical ailments. Posterity has nothing but blame for a Morton who tried to patent the discovery of ether for his own benefit.

If our eyes are only open for vision, in ten thousand daily experiences we cannot fail to see opportunities for what we can give. We shall see God himself, not waiting for us to be good, but seeking our coöperation just where we stand, in the establishment of his Kingdom. What could be more

terrible than to have to look back upon a life of opportunities, as is that of each of you, all of which we had let slip!

This experience brings me here to-day to try to induce you to accept as your life axiom, not merely that God was once re-incarnated in human life, as an emotional submission, but that as an everyday matter of fact Christ walks in our streets to-day, and can again prove his divinity to us beyond question if we will permit him, by living in our human lives. There is no life but the life which comes from him; to me, as I have said, the rest is merely existence. The reason that Christ came was that we might have life, here and now, and that we might have it more and more abundantly.

THE END

**The Riverside Press**  
**CAMBRIDGE . MASSACHUSETTS**  
**U . S . A**



1

II

III  
Somerset  
1841





